

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/01/2024 4:30 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land To Rear Of 15 - 17 Darnley Road Gravesend Kent DA11 0RZ
Proposal:	Construction of a three storey building with habitable roof space to accommodate seven apartments with associated pedestrian access, private and communal amenity space, refuse and cycle storage.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Darnley Road Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: For the following reasons:

1. A dominating block of flats fronting Rathmore Road would have a visual negative impact and would not be a focal point for train travellers (as stated in the application) who are mainly commuters .

2. Unnecessary infill and out of character for the surrounding conservation area.

3. The proposed building would abut the premises of the funeral business (no.19) and has been described in the application as 'storage'. It is in fact where the Chapels Of Rest are situated and building work would cause disruption with the dirt, dust and excessive noise, especially for grieving families wishing to pay their respects.

4. Rathmore Road is an extremely busy road being part of the one-way system around Gravesend and the traffic associated with the railway station, especially at rush hour and school run times. To have construction vehicles needing access to the site would cause further increased problems near to the junction with Darnley Road and also possibly to the entrance/exit to the car park. Refuse vehicles would need to stop either in Rathmore Road or in Darnley Road causing yet more traffic congestion added to what already exists.

5. Although No.19 is at present used as commercial premises, it was once part residential. If, in the future, this was reinstated, the light and outlook from the upper floors would be lost with this proposed large building. As would be the case for Nos 15 and 17.

6. I note that car parking is not to be provided with just a cycle storage. In reality how many people do not have a car these days. Nos 15 and 17 Darnley Road would also lose their outside space amenity.

I therefore request that the Council take these objections into consideration when deciding this proposed application.

Kind regards