

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/09/2025 3:31 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Blackthorn Farm Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 100No. residential dwellings (including affordable housing), with all matter reserved except for access and creation of a new access from A227/South Street.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to this proposal for the following reasons: - Impact on traffic. Traffic on the A227 is already very busy - especially during school drop off and pick up times, and frequently gets gridlocked every time there are roadworks, accidents etc. - THIS IS NOT GREYBELT LAND - it is part of the attractive countryside around the village of Culverstone, with benefits for wildlife and residents. - Loss of visual amenity for neighbouring properties around the site (currently, in our road, we look on to fields and trees where this site is located). - Increase in noise and light pollution for neighbouring properties. - Impact on local schools, medical practices, shops (it's already difficult to park at local shops at busy times) etc. - The Council has long resisted development in the Culverstone Valley on the basis that it is greenbelt. As the Culverstone Valley is situated behind this site, I would imagine arguments against developing Culverstone Valley would be weakened if the site at Blackthorn Farm is given the go-ahead and assume it would only be a matter of time before this site and the Culverstone Valley were absorbed into Culverstone village with further erosion of the greenbelt.
-----------	--

I hope the Council fully take into account the weight of public opinion against this proposed development.

Kind regards