

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/11/2025 10:38 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Wrotham Road Gravesend [REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I wish to register a formal objection to the above planning application for the following substantial reasons: 1. Dangerous Access, Traffic Volume and Accident Risk: This proposal would generate a minimum of 700 additional private vehicles, plus delivery, service and construction traffic. The proposed access is onto the A227 near the junction with Green Lane, adjacent to a busy parade of shops, a location that is already recognised as an accident black spot. The A227 is heavily used, often congested, and acts as a cut-through for HGVs. Introducing such a large volume of new vehicle movements at this specific junction presents a severe and unacceptable highway safety risk. 2. Severe Congestion Near Schools and Nursery: This section of Wrotham Road already experiences extreme congestion and parking pressures during peak times due to Meopham Community Academy and Mini Meophams Nursery. Parked vehicles severely restrict road width and visibility. Additional development traffic would further endanger children, parents, pedestrians and cyclists. Additionally, the section of road adjacent to The George Inn forms a physical pinch-point, where large vehicles already struggle to pass. The proposal would exacerbate these constraints beyond safe operational limits. 3. Harm to the Conservation Area: The development would have a significant and harmful visual impact on the adjacent Conservation Area, eroding its setting, character and heritage value. The scale of 350 dwellings is wholly disproportionate to the surrounding settlement form. 4. Loss of Productive Farmland: This site is a large area of fully productive farmland, actively used for food
-----------	---

production. Its permanent loss contradicts sustainability objectives and contributes to increasing reliance on food imports at a time when domestic production should be protected.

This proposal introduces unacceptable highway danger, worsens an established accident location, threatens school safety, damages a Conservation Area, and results in the irreversible loss of productive farmland.

On these grounds, the application should be refused.

Kind regards