

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 28/11/2025 10:39 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Wrotham Road Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>I formally object to the above planning application for the following reasons:</p> <p>1. Dangerous Access onto an Inadequate Rural Lane: The proposal for 150 houses would generate at least 300 additional private vehicles, plus delivery and servicing traffic. The developer proposes access for this traffic onto what is, in reality, a tiny rural lane entirely unsuitable for this level of use.</p> <p>The current road geometry, width, visibility and absence of pedestrian infrastructure make this lane fundamentally unsafe for such traffic volumes. Introducing a residential estate access here would place residents, walkers, cyclists and existing road users at daily risk.</p> <p>2. Loss of High-Grade Agricultural Land: The site consists of high-quality agricultural land that actively contributes to food production. At a time when food security is a growing national concern, the permanent destruction of productive farmland for speculative development is unsustainable and short-sighted.</p> <p>3. Unsustainable Transport Impact: The increased traffic from this site would feed directly onto already overstretched local routes, particularly the A227 and Green Lane, intensifying congestion, noise, air pollution and safety risks across the wider area.</p>
-----------	--

This proposal represents a serious and unnecessary threat to highway safety, the rural character of the lane, and the long-term protection of productive agricultural land. It would create dangers wholly disproportionate to any claimed benefit.

Planning permission should therefore be refused.

Kind regards