

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 08/12/2025 9:20 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	

Comments: I am writing to strongly object to the proposed development on the grounds of highway safety, unsuitable site access, and insufficient local infrastructure.

### 1. Dangerous Access onto a Narrow Rural Lane

The developer intends to provide access for the proposed development via what is essentially a tiny rural lane, which is not designed to accommodate significant traffic volumes. The proposed development includes approximately 150 houses, which could generate over 300 cars, plus delivery and service vehicles.

Such an increase in traffic on this narrow lane would create a serious safety hazard for all road users, including pedestrians, cyclists, and existing residents. The lane lacks the width, visibility, and turning capacity to safely accommodate this level of additional traffic.

### 2. Overstretching Local Healthcare Services

The proposed development would place additional strain on already overstretched local GP practices and NHS services. There is no evidence that the current healthcare infrastructure has the capacity to absorb the additional demand generated by 150 new households.

Without adequate provision for healthcare, the development would negatively

impact the wellbeing of both new and existing residents, and cannot be considered sustainable.

#### Conclusion

Due to the unsafe access arrangements, the increased traffic hazard, and the additional strain on local healthcare services, I strongly urge the planning authority to refuse this application.

Yours faithfully,  
Krzysztof Pilak

---

Kind regards