

3. The construction zone is shown on the drawings for 0769 and omitted from 1052 drawings.
4. The tower type and equipment are similar in both applications.

The Society regards these changes as improvements reducing the impact of the proposal. In assessing application GR/2021/1052, it is noted the applicants have submitted evidence of need in a relatively remote area. The Society assumes the area concerned is not already served by other providers. On this basis the Society, whilst it remains concerned at the impact, would not wish to register an objection to the application.

The Society notes from the drawings however, that the mitigation forming part of the basis of withholding any objection to the current application, is the fact that the installation would be screened on three side by existing trees, which would also form the backdrop so that only the top of the tower would be readily visible. In these circumstances, to preserve the amenity of the area, it is essential that the existing tree cover is maintained and where possible enhanced.

The Society would therefore look to the Local Planning Authority, if it is minded to grant consent, to negotiate an agreement with the applicants for them to safeguard the tree cover either by agreement or acquisition and management. In this context it is noted that applicant will need agreement or acquisition in order to occupy the application site and construct the installation.

Yours Sincerely



Mrs P A Lippiatt
Hon. Secretary