

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/11/2025 11:40 AM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Mountfield Close Culverstone

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	

Comments:

We would be losing out on more green belt land - need to maintain our village and not become a town. Will create even more damage to the pollution in the village - the A227 is often blocked and cars at a standstill, we do not have sufficient public transport, and I cannot see this improving - will only get worse. The trains to London from Meopham are limited, very expensive and takes at least 40-50 mins to get into London before you actually get to your final destination.

The A227 is constantly closed for road works - the alternative of travelling via the country lanes is not a viable option as they quickly become blocked. People travelling to / from schools and trying to get to work / medical appointments are often caught up in this. The Drs is at capacity, the schools are too, constant water leaks, internet is very slow and further houses will make this much worse. If there any issues on A2 / M20 - the A227 becomes a "cut through" for a lot of vehicles and more noticeably HGVs - this is very dangerous the road is not built to hold that amount of traffic, even more dangerous for pedestrians, including young people when trying to cross the road.

The shops by Camer Park - not sufficient parking for needs of the local and that part of the road is already EXTREMELY dangerous, the recent work to make it "safer" has not improved the roads, I hear of accidents, and I have certainly seen a few near misses myself - we need to protect people's lives.

By getting rid of another field takes away the leisure opportunities for the local residents who actually enjoy walking / rambling through the fields and I am sure will help with their mental health as the government is constantly telling us to exercise and get out. Instead, will be much more polluted, the wildlife will be gone and the area permanently damaged.

I absolutely object to this proposal and we need to protect and look after our village rather than destroying it further and keep people safe.

---

Kind regards