



Leading Edge Labels, Gravesend

Noise Impact Assessment

5th April 2022

inacoustic | **bristol**

Caswell Park, Caswell Lane, Clapton-in-Gordano, Bristol, BS20 7RT

0117 325 3949 | www.inacoustic.co.uk | bristol@inacoustic.co.uk

inacoustic is a trading name of ABRW Associates Ltd, registered in the UK 09382861

Version	Comments	Date	Authored By	Checked By	Project Number
1	Noise Assessment	5 th April 2022	Archie Byard BSc (Hons)	Antony Best BSc (Hons) MIOA	22-089

This report has been prepared for the titled project or named part thereof and should not be used in whole or part and relied upon for any other project without the written authorisation of the author. No responsibility or liability is accepted by the author for the consequences of this document if it is used for a purpose other than that for which it was commissioned. Persons wishing to use or rely upon this report for other purposes must seek written authority to do so from the owner of this report and/or the author and agree to indemnify the author for any loss or damage resulting there from. The author accepts no responsibility or liability for this document to any other party than the person by whom it was commissioned.

The findings and opinions expressed are relevant to the dates of the site works and should not be relied upon to represent conditions at substantially later dates. If additional information becomes available which may affect our comments, conclusions or recommendations, the author reserves the right to review the information, reassess any new potential concerns and modify our opinions accordingly.

CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
1.1.	OVERVIEW	5
1.2.	SCOPE AND OBJECTIVES	5
2.	LEGISLATION AND POLICY FRAMEWORK	6
2.1.	NATIONAL POLICY	6
2.2.	ASSESSMENT CRITERIA	9
3.	SITE DESCRIPTION	10
3.1.	SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA	10
4.	MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY	11
4.1.	GENERAL	11
4.2.	MEASUREMENT DETAILS	11
4.3.	SOUND INDICES	13
4.4.	SUMMARY RESULTS	13
5.	OPERATIONAL NOISE ASSESSMENT	14
5.1.	NOISE MODELLING	14
5.2.	ASSESSMENT	17
6.	CONCLUSION	23
7.	APPENDICES	24
7.1.	APPENDIX A – DEFINITION OF TERMS	25
7.2.	APPENDIX B – SOUND MEASUREMENT RESULTS	28
7.3.	APPENDIX C – STATISTICAL ANALYSIS	29

FIGURES

FIGURE 1: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA	10
FIGURE 2: MEASUREMENT POSITIONS	12
FIGURE 3: SPECIFIC SOUND LEVEL MAP	15
FIGURE 4: MEASURED TIME HISTORY – DAYTIME	28
FIGURE 5: MEASURED TIME HISTORY – NIGHTTIME	28
FIGURE 6: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF L_{A90} BACKGROUND – DAYTIME - MP1	29
FIGURE 7: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF L_{A90} BACKGROUND – NIGHT-TIME - MP1	29

TABLES

TABLE 1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA FROM NPPG IN ENGLAND: NOISE	8
TABLE 3: INVENTORY OF SOUND MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT	11
TABLE 4: MEASUREMENT POSITIONS DESCRIPTION	12
TABLE 5: SOUND MEASUREMENT RESULTS	13
TABLE 6: SOUND SOURCE DATA	14
TABLE 7: PREDICTED SPECIFIC SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY	16
TABLE 8: RATING PENALTY ASSESSMENT	18
TABLE 9: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FACTORS	19
TABLE 10: CALCULATION UNCERTAINTY FACTORS	20
TABLE 11: RATING SOUND LEVELS	21
TABLE 12: DAYTIME BS4142:2014+A1:2019 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	21
TABLE 12: NIGHT TIME BS4142:2014+A1:2019 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY	22
TABLE 13: TYPICAL SOUND LEVELS FOUND IN THE ENVIRONMENT	26

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Overview

inacoustic has been commissioned to assess the impact of noise arising from a newly installed Waste Compactor on land at Leading Edge Labels, Imperial Business Park, Gravesend, DA11 ODP.

This report details the existing background sound climate at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors, as well as the potential sound emissions associated with the Development.

The assessment considers the potential noise generation from the plant associated with the Development, with respect to existing sound levels in the area. The assessment methodology contained in British Standard 4142:2014+A1:2019 *Method for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound* has been used.

Accordingly, the following technical noise assessment has been produced to accompany the Retrospective Planning Application to Gravesham Borough Council and is based upon environmental noise measurements undertaken at the site and a subsequent 3-dimensional noise modelling exercise.

This noise assessment is necessarily technical in nature; therefore a glossary of terms is included in Appendix A to assist the reader.

1.2. Scope and Objectives

The scope of the noise assessment can be summarised as follows:

- A sound monitoring survey was undertaken at discrete locations representative of the noise source and closest noise-sensitive receptors to the Site;
- A 3-dimensional noise modelling exercise, in order to quantify the potential noise generation of the site uses;
- An assessment of noise impact with respect to the prevailing acoustic conditions at existing off-site receptors; and
- Recommendation of mitigation measures, where necessary, to comply with the requirements of the National Planning Practice Guidance in England: Noise¹.

¹ Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), 2019. National Planning Practice Guidance for England: Noise. DCLG England: Noise. DCLG.

2. LEGISLATION AND POLICY FRAMEWORK

The development proposals for the Site are guided by the following policy directives and guidance:

2.1. National Policy

2.1.1. National Planning Policy Framework, 2021

The *National Planning Policy Framework* (NPPF)² sets out the Government's planning policies for England. Planning policy requires that applications for planning permission must be determined in accordance with the development plan, unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

The NPPF is also a material consideration in planning decisions. It sets out the Government's requirements for the planning system and how these are expected to be addressed.

Under Section 15; *Conserving and Enhancing the Natural Environment*, in Paragraph 174, the following is stated:

"Planning policies and decisions should contribute to and enhance the natural and local environment by:

- e) preventing new and existing development from contributing to, being put at unacceptable risk from, or being adversely affected by, unacceptable levels of soil, air, water or noise pollution or land instability".*

Paragraph 185 of the document goes on to state:

"Planning policies and decisions should also ensure that new development is appropriate for its location taking into account the likely effects (including cumulative effects) of pollution on health, living conditions and the natural environment, as well as the potential sensitivity of the site or the wider area to impacts that could arise from the development. In doing so they should:

- a) mitigate and reduce to a minimum potential adverse impacts resulting from noise from new development – and avoid noise giving rise to significant adverse impacts on health and the quality of life;*
- b) identify and protect tranquil areas which have remained relatively undisturbed by noise and are prized for their recreational and amenity value for this reason"*

Paragraph 185 refers to the Noise Policy Statement for England, which is considered overleaf.

² Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government (MHCLG), July 2021. National Planning Policy Framework. HMSO. London.

2.1.2. Noise Policy Statement for England, 2010

The underlying principles and aims of existing noise policy documents, legislation and guidance are clarified in *DEFRA: 2010: Noise Policy Statement for England* (NPSE)³. The NPSE sets out the “Long Term Vision” of Government noise policy as follows:

“Promote good health and good quality of life through the effective management of noise within the context of Government policy on sustainable development”.

The NPSE outlines three aims for the effective management and control of environmental, neighbour and neighbourhood noise:

- *“Avoid significant adverse impacts on health and quality of life;*
- *Mitigate and minimise adverse impacts on health and quality of life; and*
- *Where possible, contribute to the improvement of health and quality of life”.*

The guidance states that it is not possible to have a single objective noise-based measure that defines “Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level (SOAEL)” that is applicable to all sources of noise in all situations and that not having specific SOAEL values in the NPSE provides the necessary policy flexibility until further evidence and suitable guidance is available.

2.1.3. National Planning Practice Guidance in England: Noise, 2019

Further guidance in relation to the NPPF and the NPSE has been published in the *National Planning Practice Guidance in England: Noise* (NPPG Noise)⁴, which summarises the noise exposure hierarchy, based on the likely average response. The following three observed effect levels are identified below:

- **Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level:** This is the level of noise exposure above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur;
- **Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level:** This is the level of noise exposure above which adverse effects on health and quality of life can be detected; and
- **No Observed Adverse Effect Level:** This is the level of noise exposure below which no effect at all on health or quality of life can be detected.

Criteria related to each of these levels are reproduced in Table 1.

³ Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (DEFRA), 2010. Noise Policy Statement for England. DEFRA.

⁴ Department for Communities and Local Government (DCLG), 2019. National Planning Practice Guidance for England: Noise. DCLG.

TABLE 1: SIGNIFICANCE CRITERIA FROM NPPG IN ENGLAND: NOISE

Perception	Examples of Outcomes	Increasing Effect Level	Action
No Observed Effect Level			
Not Noticeable	No Effect	No Observed Effect	No specific measures required
No Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Noticeable and Not Intrusive	Noise can be heard, but does not cause any change in behaviour, attitude or other physiological response. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not such that there is a change in the quality of life.	No Observed Adverse Effect	No specific measures required
Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Noticeable and Intrusive	Noise can be heard and causes small changes in behaviour, attitude or other physiological response, e.g. turning up volume of television; speaking more loudly; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to close windows for some of the time because of the noise. Potential for some reported sleep disturbance. Affects the acoustic character of the area such that there is a small actual or perceived change in the quality of life.	Observed Adverse Effect	Mitigate and reduce to a minimum
Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level			
Present and Disruptive	The noise causes a material change in behaviour, attitude or other physiological response, e.g. avoiding certain activities during periods of intrusion; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to keep windows closed most of the time because of the noise. Potential for sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty in getting to sleep, premature awakening and difficulty in getting back to sleep. Quality of life diminished due to change in acoustic character of the area.	Significant Observed Adverse Effect	Avoid
Present and Very Disruptive	Extensive and regular changes in behaviour, attitude or other physiological response and/or an inability to mitigate effect of noise leading to psychological stress, e.g. regular sleep deprivation/awakening; loss of appetite, significant, medically definable harm, e.g. auditory and non-auditory.	Unacceptable Adverse Effect	Prevent

2.2. Assessment Criteria

2.2.1. BS4142:2014+A1:2019

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 sets out a method to assess the likely effect of sound from factories, industrial premises or fixed installations and sources of an industrial nature in commercial premises, on people who might be inside or outside a dwelling or premises used for residential purposes in the vicinity.

The procedure contained in BS4142:2014+A1:2019 for assessing the effect of sound on residential receptors is to compare the measured or predicted sound level from the source in question, the $L_{Aeq,T}$ *specific sound level*, immediately outside the dwelling with the $L_{A90,T}$ background sound level.

Where the sound contains a tonality, impulsivity, intermittency and other sound characteristics, then a correction depending on the grade of the aforementioned characteristics of the sound is added to the specific sound level to obtain the $L_{Ar,Tr}$ *rating sound level*. The effect of uncertainty in sound measurements, data and calculations should also be considered when necessary.

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states: *"The significance of sound of an industrial and/or commercial nature depends upon both the margin by which the rating level of the specific sound source exceeds the background sound level and the context in which the sound occurs"*. An estimation of the impact of the specific sound can be obtained by the difference of the rating sound level and the background sound level and considering the following:

- *"Typically, the greater this difference, the greater the magnitude of the impact."*
- *"A difference of around +10dB or more is likely to be an indication of a significant adverse impact, depending on the context."*
- *"A difference of around +5dB is likely to be an indication of an adverse impact, depending on the context."*
- *"The lower the rating level is relative to the measured background sound level, the less likely it is that the specific sound source will have an adverse impact or a significant adverse impact. Where the rating level does not exceed the background sound level, this is an indication of the specific sound source having a low impact, depending on the context."*

During the daytime, the assessment is typically carried out over a reference time period of 1-hour, with a reference period of 15-minutes used for the night-time assessment. The periods associated with day or night, for the purposes of the Standard, are considered to be 07.00 to 23.00 and 23.00 to 07.00, respectively.

3. SITE DESCRIPTION

3.1. Site and Surrounding Area

The Development, a newly installed Waste Compactor, is located on land at Leading Edge Labels, Imperial Retail Park, Gravesend, DA11 ODP.

The Site is located within the main car park of Leading Edge Labels. The closest Noise-Sensitive Receptors (NSRs) to the site are 200m away to the south of the site.

The ambient sound environment at the area consisted of distant road traffic noise arising from Thames Way and Overcliffe to the south, and other smaller surrounding roads. Additional contributions to the ambient sound included noise from commercial operations arising from elsewhere within the retail park, including HGV and forklift movements.

The site and the surrounding area can be seen in Figure 1.

FIGURE 1: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SITE AND SURROUNDING AREA



4. MEASUREMENT METHODOLOGY

4.1. General

The prevailing background sound conditions in the area have been determined by an environmental noise survey conducted during both daytime and night-time periods between Tuesday 15th to Wednesday 16th March 2022.

4.2. Measurement Details

All noise measurements were undertaken by a consultant certified as competent in environmental noise monitoring, and, in accordance with the principles of BS 7445⁵.

All acoustic measurement equipment used during the noise survey conformed to Type 1 specification of British Standard 61672⁶. A full inventory of this equipment is shown in Table 2 below.

TABLE 2: INVENTORY OF SOUND MEASUREMENT EQUIPMENT

Measurement Position	Make, Model & Description	Serial Number	Certificate Number	Calibration Expiry Date
Source	NTi XL2 Sound Level Meter	A2A-146347-E0	1123445	14/02/2024
	NTi Audio MA220 Microphone	7601		
Receptor	Brüel & Kjær 2238 Sound Level Meter	2812838	1107108	14/12/2022
	Brüel & Kjær 4188 Microphone	2793282		
Both	Cirrus CR:515 Acoustic Calibrator	82501	10045223	02/03/2023

The sound measurement equipment used during the survey was field calibrated at the start and end of the measurement period. A calibration laboratory has calibrated the field calibrator used within the twelve months preceding the measurements. A drift of less than 0.1 dB in the field calibration was found to have occurred on the sound level meters.

The weather conditions during the survey were conducive to environmental noise measurement; it being dry, with wind speeds typically below 5 ms⁻¹. All measurements were carried out with a consultant present, allowing observations of weather to be made throughout.

⁵ British Standard 7445: 2003: Description and measurement of environmental noise. BSI

⁶ British Standard 61672: 2013: Electroacoustics. Sound level meters. Part 1 Specifications. BSI.

The microphones were fitted with a protective windshield for the measurements, which is described in Table 3, with an aerial photograph indicating its location shown in Figure 2.

TABLE 3: MEASUREMENT POSITIONS DESCRIPTION

Measurement Position	Description
MP1	Attended daytime source measurements of the new Compactor facility, measured at 1m distance from the unit. The microphone was located at 1.5 metres above local ground under free-field conditions. The sound environment at this location consisted of distant road traffic to the south, vehicles using the nearby roads and commercial activity associated with the industrial estate. Nature sounds such as birdsongs and wind-induced vegetation movement was occasionally audible.
MP2	Attended daytime and night-time measurement of sound at a location representative of the closest noise-sensitive receptor to the south of the site. The microphone was located at 1.5 metres above local ground under free-field conditions. The sound environment at this location was dominated by vehicles of all types travelling on nearby roads and nature sounds such as birdsongs and wind-induced vegetation movement was occasionally audible.

FIGURE 2: MEASUREMENT POSITIONS



4.3. Sound Indices

The parameters reported are the average Equivalent Continuous Sound Level, $L_{Aeq,T}$, the statistical index (typical) Background Sound Level, $L_{A90,T}$, as well as the and the typical Maximum Sound Pressure Level, L_{AFmax} . An explanation of the sound units presented is given in Appendix A.

The measured L_{Aeq} , L_{AFmax} , and L_{AF90} sound levels are presented as time histories in a graph in Appendix B. Furthermore, the statistical distribution of the measured background sound levels to derive the typical representative $L_{A90,T}$ values are presented in a graphical format in Appendix C.

4.4. Summary Results

The summarised results of the environmental sound measurements, during the day and night-time periods, can be seen below in Table 4. Values have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

TABLE 4: SOUND MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Measurement Position	Period	$L_{Aeq,T}$ (dB)	$L_{AF90,T}$ (dB)	L_{AFmax} (dB)
MP2	Day	65	54	84
	Night	60	47	79

5. OPERATIONAL NOISE ASSESSMENT

5.1. Noise Modelling

5.1.1. Source Data

The A-weighted sound pressure levels associated with the Development can be seen below in Table 5.

TABLE 5: SOUND SOURCE DATA

Plant	Quantity	Sound Pressure Level @ 1m, (dBA)
New Compactor	1	71
Fans	2	86

5.1.2. Calculation Process

Calculations were carried out using Cadna/A, which undertakes its calculations in accordance with guidance given in ISO9613-1:1993 and ISO9613-2:1996.

5.1.3. Model Assumptions


Given that the land between proposed development and nearest receptors is largely soft, the ground factor has been set to 1, within the calculation software, with 2 orders of reflection. Full octave frequency spectrum has been used in the calculations. It has been assumed that all processes will occur simultaneously, representing a worst-case scenario.

In order to accurately model the land surrounding the development, an AutoCAD DXF drawing was produced, which was based on data provided by the Ordnance Survey, along with associated topographic contours.

5.1.4. Specific Sound Level Map

The sound map showing the specific sound level emissions from the Development can be seen in Figure 3 below.

FIGURE 3: SPECIFIC SOUND LEVEL MAP



5.1.5. Specific Sound Level Summary

A summary of the predicted specific sound levels at the closest NSRs, based on the sound maps shown in Figure 3 can be seen below in Table 6.

TABLE 6: PREDICTED SPECIFIC SOUND LEVEL SUMMARY

NSR	Specific Sound Level (dB)
NSR1	27
NSR2	25
NSR3	33
NSR4	32
NSR5	29
NSR6	28
NSR7	33
NSR8	32

5.2. Assessment

5.2.1. Rating Penalty Principle

Section 9 of BS4142:2014+A1:2019 describes how the rating sound level should be derived from the specific sound level, by determining a rating penalty. BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states:

“Certain acoustic features can increase the significance of impact over that expected from a basic comparison between the specific sound level and the background sound level. Where such features are present at the assessment location, add a character correction to the specific sound level to obtain the rating level. This can be approached in three ways:

- a) subjective method;*
- b) objective method for tonality;*
- c) reference method.”*

Given that the Proposed Development is not operational, the subjective method has been adopted to derive the rating sound level from the specific sound level. This is discussed in Section 9.2 of BS4142:2014+A1:2019, which states:

“Where appropriate, establish a rating penalty for sound based on a subjective assessment of its characteristics. This would also be appropriate where a new source cannot be measured because it is only proposed at that time, but the characteristics of similar sources can subjectively be assessed.

Correct the specific sound level if a tone, impulse or other characteristics occurs, or is expected to be present, for new or modified sound sources.”

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 defines four characteristics that should be considered when deriving a rating penalty, namely; tonality; impulsivity; intermittency; and other sound characteristics, which are defined as:

Tonality

A rating penalty of +2 dB is applicable for a tone which is *“just perceptible”*, +4 dB where a tone is *“clearly perceptible”*, and +6 dB where a tone is *“highly perceptible”*.

Impulsivity

A rating penalty of +3 dB is applicable for impulsivity which is *“just perceptible”*, +6 dB where it is *“clearly perceptible”*, and +9 dB where it is *“highly perceptible”*.

Intermittency

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states that when the *“specific sound has identifiable on/off conditions, the specific sound level ought to be representative of the time period of length equal to the reference time interval which contains the greatest total amount of on time ... if the intermittency is readily distinctive against the residual acoustic environment, a penalty of +3 dB can be applied.”*

Other Sound Characteristics

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states that where *“the specific sound features characteristics that are neither tonal nor impulsive, though otherwise are readily distance against the residual acoustic environment, a penalty of +3 dB can be applied.”*

5.2.2. Rating Penalty Assessment

Considering the content of Section 5.2.1, an assessment of the various sound sources associated with the Proposed Development, in terms of whether any rating penalties are applicable, has been detailed in Table 7 below.

TABLE 7: RATING PENALTY ASSESSMENT

Source	Tonality	Impulsivity	Intermittency	Other Sound Characteristics	Discussion
Waste Compactor	0 dB	+3 dB	0 dB	0 dB	The Compactor itself was observed to produce quiet metallic ‘knocks’ due to the nature of the machine’s operation. During on-site measurements at source, these impulsive sounds were faintly audible and so a penalty of +3 dB has been applied. The new Waste Compactor does not operate continuously but is typically used on an ad hoc basis. Although this can be considered intermittent, the specific sound level has been calculated on the basis of continual operation, thus representing a robust scenario. Potential low-frequency bias might exist at source, but due to distance to receptors, the residual acoustic environment will mask any significant tones or low frequency characteristics.

In summary, a rating penalty of +3 dB has been included in the assessment.

5.2.3. Uncertainty

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 requires that the level of uncertainty in the measured data and associated calculations is considered in the assessment. The Standard recommends that steps should be taken to reduce the level of uncertainty.

Measurement Uncertainty

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states that measurement uncertainty depends on a number of factors, including the following, which are applicable to the Proposed Development:

- “ ...
- b) the complexity and level of variability of the residual acoustic environment;*
 - “ ...
 - d) the location(s) selected for taking the measurements;*
 - “ ...
 - g) the measurement time intervals;*
 - h) the range of times when the measurements have been taken;*
 - i) the range of suitable weather conditions during which measurements have been taken;*
 - “ ...
 - k) the level of rounding of each measurement recorded; and*
 - l) the instrumentation used.”*

Each of the measurement uncertainty factors outlined above have been considered and discussed in Table 8 below.

TABLE 8: MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY FACTORS

Measurement Uncertainty Factor Reference	Level of Uncertainty	Discussion
b)	0 dB	Residual acoustic environment is relatively constant, hence no correction for a complex residual acoustic environment.
d)	0 dB	Measuring at the closest affected receptors to the site has enabled the determination of robust background sound levels.
g)	0 dB	Measurement time intervals were set in accordance with BS4142:2014+A1:2019, hence no further correction needs to be made.
h)	0 dB	Measurements were undertaken over a attended day and night time intervals.
i)	0 dB	There were no adverse weather periods noted during the measurements.
k)	0 dB	Measured values were rounded to 0.1 dB, therefore rounding would not have had a significant impact on the overall typical background sound levels.
l)	0 dB	The acoustic measurement equipment accorded with Type 1 specification of British Standard 61672, and were deployed with appropriate wind shields.

In summary, no uncertainty budget has been considered in the assessment, to account for measurement uncertainty.

Calculation Uncertainty

BS4142:2014+A1:2019 states that calculation uncertainty depends on a number of factors, including the following, which are applicable to the Development:

- “ ...
- b) uncertainty in the operation or sound emission characteristics of the specific sound source and any assumed sound power levels;*
 - c) uncertainty in the calculation method;*
 - d) simplifying the real situation to “fit” the model (user influence on modelling); and*
 - e) error in the calculation process.”*

Each of the calculation uncertainty factors outlined above have been considered and discussed in Table 9 below.

TABLE 9: CALCULATION UNCERTAINTY FACTORS

Calculation Uncertainty Factor Reference	Level of Uncertainty	Discussion
b)	0 dB	Sound source levels are based on measured source levels of the operational plant item.
c)	0 dB	Calculations were undertaken in accordance with ISO 9613-2, which is considered a “ <i>validated method</i> ” by BS4142:2014+A1:2019.
d)	0 dB	The real situation has not been simplified for the purposes of this assessment.
e)	±1 dB	ISO 9613-2 indicates that there is a ±3 dB accuracy to the prediction method, therefore, an uncertainty factor of ±1 dB is considered appropriate and proportional, given the separation distances involved.

In summary, an uncertainty budget of ±1 dB has been considered in the assessment, to account for calculation uncertainty.

The overall uncertainty is considered to be sufficiently small enough that it would not affect the conclusions of the assessment.

5.2.4. Rating Sound Level

Incorporating the rating penalties detailed in Section 5.2.2 with the predicted specific sound levels, as detailed in Table 6, the rating sound levels have been derived and have been detailed in Table 10 below.

TABLE 10: RATING SOUND LEVELS

NSR	Specific Sound Level dB	Rating Sound Level (dB)
1	27	30
2	25	28
3	33	36
4	32	35
5	29	32
6	28	31
7	33	36
8	32	35

5.2.5. BS4142:2014+A1:2019 Assessment

The rating sound level, as calculated from the predicted specific sound level, has been assessed in accordance with BS4142:2014+A1:2019, at all NSRs.

The resultant assessment summary, during the daytime period, can be seen in Table 11 below.

TABLE 11: DAYTIME BS4142:2014+A1:2019 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NSR	Rating Sound Level (dB)	Daytime Background Sound Level (dB)	Excess of Rating over Daytime Background Sound Level (dB)
1	30	54	-24
2	28	54	-26
3	36	54	-18
4	35	54	-19
5	32	54	-22
6	31	54	-23
7	36	54	-18
8	35	54	-19
9	30	54	-24
10	28	54	-26

It can be seen that the Development demonstrates a 'low impact' at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors during the daytime period.

The resultant assessment summary, during the night-time period, can be seen in Table 12 below.

TABLE 12: NIGHT TIME BS4142:2014+A1:2019 ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

NSR	Rating Sound Level (dB)	Night-time Background Sound Level (dB)	Excess of Rating over Night-time Background Sound Level (dB)
1	30	47	-17
2	28	47	-19
3	36	47	-11
4	35	47	-12
5	32	47	-15
6	31	47	-16
7	36	47	-11
8	35	47	-12
9	30	47	-17
10	28	47	-19

It can be seen that the Development demonstrates a '*low impact*' at the nearest noise-sensitive receptors during the night-time period.

6. CONCLUSION

inacoustic has been commissioned to assess the impact of noise arising from a newly installed Waste Compactor facility on land at Leading Edge Labels, Imperial Business Park, Gravesend, DA11 0DP.

This technical noise assessment has been produced for Gravesham Borough Council, and is based upon environmental noise measurements undertaken at the site and a subsequent 3-dimensional noise modelling exercise.

The assessment considers the potential noise generation from the plant associated with the Proposed Development, with respect to existing sound levels in the area.

The assessment methodology contained in British Standard 4142: 2014+A1:2019 *Method for rating and assessing industrial and commercial sound* has been used.

The assessment identifies that the Proposed Development will give rise to rating sound levels that do not exceed the measured background sound level in the area during both the daytime and night-time, thus giving rise to a 'Low Impact'.

Consequently, the assessment demonstrates that the Proposed Development will give rise to noise impacts that would not exceed the range of NOAEL of the NPPG England guidance.

For ease of reference, the definition of *No Observed Adverse Effect Level* in PPGNoise is reproduced below:

"Noise can be heard, but does not cause any change in behaviour, attitude or other physiological response. Can slightly affect the acoustic character of the area but not such that there is a change in the quality of life."

Since the Proposed Development conforms to British Standard and National Planning Policy requirements, it is recommended that noise should not be a considered constraint to the continued use of the new Waste Compactor facility, providing that the plant is operated in accordance with the acoustic assumptions of this report.

7. APPENDICES

Gravesham Borough Council

7.1. Appendix A – Definition of Terms

Sound Pressure	Sound, or sound pressure, is a fluctuation in air pressure over the static ambient pressure.
Sound Pressure Level (Sound Level)	The sound level is the sound pressure relative to a standard reference pressure of 20µPa (20x10 ⁻⁶ Pascals) on a decibel scale.
Decibel (dB)	A scale for comparing the ratios of two quantities, including sound pressure and sound power. The difference in level between two sounds s1 and s2 is given by 20 log10 (s1 / s2). The decibel can also be used to measure absolute quantities by specifying a reference value that fixes one point on the scale. For sound pressure, the reference value is 20µPa.
A-weighting, dB(A)	The unit of sound level, weighted according to the A-scale, which takes into account the increased sensitivity of the human ear at some frequencies.
Noise Level Indices	Noise levels usually fluctuate over time, so it is often necessary to consider an average or statistical noise level. This can be done in several ways, so a number of different noise indices have been defined, according to how the averaging or statistics are carried out.
$L_{eq,T}$	A noise level index called the equivalent continuous noise level over the time period T. This is the level of a notional steady sound that would contain the same amount of sound energy as the actual, possibly fluctuating, sound that was recorded.
$L_{max,T}$	A noise level index defined as the maximum noise level during the period T. L_{max} is sometimes used for the assessment of occasional loud noises, which may have little effect on the overall L_{eq} noise level but will still affect the noise environment. Unless described otherwise, it is measured using the 'fast' sound level meter response.
$L_{90,T}$	A noise level index. The noise level exceeded for 90% of the time over the period T. L_{90} can be considered to be the "average minimum" noise level and is often used to describe the background noise.
$L_{10,T}$	A noise level index. The noise level exceeded for 10% of the time over the period T. L_{10} can be considered to be the "average maximum" noise level. Generally used to describe road traffic noise.
Free-Field	Far from the presence of sound reflecting objects (except the ground), usually taken to mean at least 3.5m
Facade	At a distance of 1m in front of a large sound reflecting object such as a building façade.
Fast Time Weighting	An averaging time used in sound level meters. Defined in BS 5969.

In order to assist the understanding of acoustic terminology and the relative change in noise, the following background information is provided.

The human ear can detect a very wide range of pressure fluctuations, which are perceived as sound. In order to express these fluctuations in a manageable way, a logarithmic scale called the decibel, or dB scale is used. The decibel scale typically ranges from 0 dB (the threshold of hearing) to over 120 dB. An indication of the range of sound levels commonly found in the environment is given in the following table.

TABLE 13: TYPICAL SOUND LEVELS FOUND IN THE ENVIRONMENT

Sound Level	Location
0dB(A)	Threshold of hearing
20 to 30dB(A)	Quiet bedroom at night
30 to 40dB(A)	Living room during the day
40 to 50dB(A)	Typical office
50 to 60dB(A)	Inside a car
60 to 70dB(A)	Typical high street
70 to 90dB(A)	Inside factory
100 to 110dB(A)	Burglar alarm at 1m away
110 to 130dB(A)	Jet aircraft on take off
140dB(A)	Threshold of Pain

The ear is less sensitive to some frequencies than to others. The A-weighting scale is used to approximate the frequency response of the ear. Levels weighted using this scale are commonly identified by the notation dB(A).

In accordance with logarithmic addition, combining two sources with equal noise levels would result in an increase of 3 dB(A) in the noise level from a single source.

A change of 3 dB(A) is generally regarded as the smallest change in broadband continuous noise which the human ear can detect (although in certain controlled circumstances a change of 1 dB(A) is just perceptible). Therefore, a 2 dB(A) increase would not be normally be perceptible. A 10 dB(A) increase in noise represents a subjective doubling of loudness.

A noise impact on a community is deemed to occur when a new noise is introduced that is out of character with the area, or when a significant increase above the pre-existing ambient noise level occurs.

For levels of noise that vary with time, it is necessary to employ a statistical index that allows for this variation. These statistical indices are expressed as the sound level that is exceeded for a percentage of the time period of interest. In the UK, traffic noise is measured as the L_{A10} , the noise level exceeded for 10% of the measurement period. The L_{A90} is the level exceeded for 90% of the time and has been adopted to represent the background noise level in the absence of discrete events. An alternative way of assessing the time varying noise levels is to use the equivalent continuous sound level, L_{Aeq} .

This is a notional steady level that would, over a given period of time, deliver the same sound energy as the actual fluctuating sound.

To put these quantities into context, where a receiver is predominantly affected by continuous flows of road traffic, a doubling or halving of the flows would result in a just perceptible change of 3 dB, while an increase of more than 25%, or a decrease of more than 20%, in traffic flows represent changes of 1 dB in traffic noise levels (assuming no alteration in the mix of traffic or flow speeds).

Note that the time constant and the period of the noise measurement should be specified. For example, BS 4142 specifies background noise measurement periods of 1 hour during the day and 15 minutes during the night. The noise levels are commonly symbolised as $L_{A90,1\text{hour}}$ dB and $L_{A90,15\text{mins}}$ dB. The noise measurement should be recorded using a 'FAST' time response equivalent to 0.125 ms.

Gravesend Borough Council

7.2. Appendix B – Sound Measurement Results

FIGURE 4: MEASURED TIME HISTORY – DAYTIME

Start Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFmax} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)
16/03/2022 12:45:00	64.1	75.8	67.9	53.2
16/03/2022 12:50:00	64.9	76.5	68.3	54.7
16/03/2022 12:55:00	64.0	76.3	67.1	54.9
16/03/2022 13:00:00	64.6	84.9	68.0	53.0
16/03/2022 13:05:00	64.4	76.8	67.8	53.7
16/03/2022 13:10:00	64.5	74.8	68.3	54.7
16/03/2022 13:15:00	66.5	81.0	68.9	52.3
16/03/2022 13:20:00	68.5	82.3	71.3	55.1
16/03/2022 13:25:00	64.8	80.4	68.2	54.0
16/03/2022 13:30:00	67.4	86.2	69.3	51.4
16/03/2022 13:35:00	64.6	78.0	68.2	54.0
16/03/2022 13:40:00	64.3	76.7	67.9	54.1
16/03/2022 13:45:00	63.9	75.1	67.5	54.2

FIGURE 5: MEASURED TIME HISTORY – NIGHTTIME

Start Time	L _{Aeq} (dB)	L _{AFmax} (dB)	L _{A10} (dB)	L _{A90} (dB)
15/03/2022 23:15:00	58.5	71.0	63.5	46.0
15/03/2022 23:20:00	57.5	73.0	60.5	43.5
15/03/2022 23:25:00	58.4	75.8	62.0	44.5
15/03/2022 23:30:00	60.7	78.8	64.0	45.5
15/03/2022 23:35:00	60.5	74.1	65.0	47.0
15/03/2022 23:40:00	60.6	79.1	63.5	45.5
15/03/2022 23:45:00	61.3	74.0	65.5	49.0
15/03/2022 23:50:00	59.6	76.3	63.5	44.5
15/03/2022 23:55:00	59.6	79.5	61.5	53.0
16/03/2022 00:00:00	56.5	72.2	57.5	53.0

7.3. Appendix C – Statistical Analysis

FIGURE 6: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF L_{A90} BACKGROUND – DAYTIME – MP1





FIGURE 7: STATISTICAL ANALYSIS OF L_{A90} BACKGROUND – NIGHT-TIME – MP1



Gravesham Borough Council

inacoustic | **bristol**

Caswell Park, Caswell Lane, Clapton-in-Gordano, Bristol, BS20 7RT

0117 325 3949 | www.inacoustic.co.uk | bristol@inacoustic.co.uk

inacoustic is a trading name of ABRW Associates Ltd, registered in the UK 09382861