

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/07/2024 9:57 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Cobham House Vineyard Gold Street Cobham Gravesend Kent DA12 3AA
Proposal:	Application to determine if prior approval is required for a proposed; Erection of a winery building and hardstanding for Agricultural or Forestry use of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) - Schedule 2, Part 6.
Case Officer:	Mr Ethan Bonthron

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	The AONB is a beautiful place; this is a vast, imposing building in excess of 40x15x9 metres / 130x50x30 feet, a few impacts being: - Change in character of the area - looking at and listening to a processing plant on the most visible part of the field does not fit within the beauty of this rural landscape, the site can be seen from many miles away, as well as the properties that overlook the AONB - Visual impact on the landscape - part of the Kent Downs National Landscape - Loss of tranquillity - industrial processing isn't quiet "bottling lines are a common source of high noise levels, posing particular problems for noise reduction" (hse.gov.uk) - Disturbance - to wildlife, to traffic, to ecosystems etc; security lighting doesn't fit with an AONB - Reduction in residential amenity - "the overall quality, experience, and nature of views and outlook available to occupants of residential properties These roads are inconsistent with heavy good vehicles as Gold St is a single track road where even two cars cannot pass in opposite directions without one having to reverse. There is much overhang of tree branches etc and it would be a travesty to have to reduce or even cut these down to facilitate an industrial facility. This area is, in no way, remotely suitable for the proposed development and resulting long term impact. I therefore STRONGLY object.
-----------	---

Kind regards