

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/12/2025 3:29 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Objection to Proposed Housing Development in Meopham
	Unsuitable Location
The proposed site lies within the Green Belt on the edge of a small village. Development of this scale in this location would erode the boundary between Meopham and the surrounding countryside and is not an appropriate use of Green Belt land.	
Excessive Scale	
Up to 150 homes represents a major expansion of Meopham rather than gradual village growth. The scale of the proposal is out of keeping with the size and character of the village.	
Strain on Services	
Local services are already limited. The GP surgery has confirmed it cannot take on more patients, showing that the village does not have the capacity to support this development. School places are also limited.	
Traffic and Road Safety	

The surrounding rural roads are narrow, poorly lit, and unsafe for increased traffic. Additional vehicles would worsen congestion and increase risks for pedestrians and cyclists. Significant concerns have already been raised following reports into the effects of housing expansion, with objections being raised as to the suitability of roads to handle any additional capacity. Roads are largely unlit in this area, with already dangerous turnings on to the main road.

#### Flooding and Environmental Impact

The area has experienced flooding in the past, and large-scale development would increase surface water runoff. The loss of open land and disturbance to hedgerows would also harm local wildlife and the rural setting.

#### Conclusion

This proposal is poorly located, too large for the village, and would place unacceptable pressure on infrastructure and the environment. It should be refused.

---

Kind regards