

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/01/2026 3:30 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Rose Farm Downs Road Istead Rise Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the demolition of 64 Downs Road and erection of up to 154No. residential dwellings (including affordable housing), with all matters reserved except for access. Creation of a new access from Downs Road.
Case Officer:	Adeoye Lawal

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Blenheim Close Meopham Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:

Dear Sir/Madam,

Much has been made of the negative impact of this development in terms of incorrect classification of so called grey belt i.e. unnecessary development of Green Belt, general environmental impact, local road impact etc. All of which I completely agree with but do not need to repeat. This development is far to significant for this area.

The two areas I strenuously object to are as follows:

1. There is no GP capacity in the local area. Both Downs Way Medical Practice and Meopham Medical Centre have confirmed in writing recently that not only do they not have capacity, but they are struggling to service their existing users. With the cumulative large scale applications currently with you for the local area, there are potentially several thousand people who will need GP access, none of which is able to be provided in the local area. If this development (along with the other large development applications submitted last year) does not make any provision for core services such as GP services, it cannot be approved. It should also be noted that in their application the developer stated there was capacity. They either did not do a good job on their research or lied to the planning committee. Either way, it is hard to trust any of what they say about anything if they are clearly either incompetent or liars...
2. There are no affordable homes in the scheme. There are some slightly less unaffordable homes but they are not truly affordable. Again, there is at best economies of truth being employed here, at worst they are trying to hoodwink planning to get their development through.

These two points clearly demonstrate that this developer cannot be trusted. On the basis that any sensible person looking at their plans and doing some simple analysis/research can immediately find miss-truths, nothing they have submitted can be believed and therefore the only outcome is to reject.

Kind regards