

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/03/2026 3:51 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cheyne Walk Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to this proposal for the following reasons:- 1 The plan is for in effect to have a huge cul-de-sac for all these proposed properties meaning 2000+ vehicles would have to enter/exit, plus delivery/service vehicles, onto Camer Road. From there the only access to a "main road" (A227) via Green Lane which is already very busy particularly at peak times. Indeed Green Lane is so narrow on the approach the A227 junction that vehicles cannot pass each other in each direction and mount the pavement in order to do so, not acceptable. 2 As regularly occurs when there is an issue with the A227/A2/M20 vehicle drivers attempt to use the local side roads, in particular Green Street, all of which are not able to cope due too their limited width. Leading to further lengthy delays and indeed destruction of peoples property situated along the side routes where the drivers attempt to pass each other. 3 Cycling routes within the proposed site are a good idea but there are no safe or designated cycling routes at all outside of the plan area. Roads such as Norwood Lane, Camer Park Road, Camer Road do not even have pedestrian footpaths. The local roads are simply too dangerous for all but specially skilled cyclists. This means there are no routes for cycling or walking safely to the two train stations. 4 Meopham is a linear village along the A227, with already very high traffic volumes which in many places is very narrow for two way traffic and for long stretches has no footpath. There is no room to build cycle routes through the
-----------	---

village without major infrastructure changes which would be impractical.

5 The site is high grade agricultural land in use for many generations. Land that contributes to food production and security which is ever more important in this evermore uncertain world.

6 The plan shows street light provision for the site. Meopham is a village and as such has only the occasional street light at vulnerable points. It should be kept a village, dark skies are vital to our wellbeing.

7 There are no reliable public bus services for the area, and in fact none on Sundays, and the route is subsidised and therefore not guaranteed. The idea that the proposed site is supported by a bus route is pointless as things stand.

8 The local schools are already full and would not be able to facilitate the number of school aged children these proposed new homes would generate. The "proposal for 2 form primary school does not make sense, where do the pupils go when they have completed their education at this small school. There would be no room to expand the school either.

9 The local GP surgery's do not have the free capacity to facilitate the extra people this proposal would generate, formally confirmed by both local surgery's. Who I might add have not even been approached for comment by the applicant.

10 The closest emergency NHS hospital in Darent Valley is already at bursting point, and indeed it is already going to get even more so with the extensive home building in Dartford and Bluewater/Ebbsfleet.

11 There are only small convenience stores in the area, again meaning extensive extra vehicular journeys will be required to get food etc.

12 There are no jobs in the local area therefore the home owners would have to travel to them. Creating even larger strains on the roads in the area, in particular the A227 which is already very busy all day in this area. If the idea is for the new home dwellers to travel via trains to their place of employment then it would be better for all and the environment that the new homes be built where the jobs are.

13 Meopham is losing provision of smaller one/two bedroom bungalows.

Properties that retirees wish there were so that they could downsize and enjoy their remaining years in a more manageable home. If they were a more diverse age group in these local communities, perhaps it would aid in the ideal of safer crime free areas.

14 The proposed development area is not only farmland but valuable countryside vital for wildlife. For the benefit of all brownfield site must be the first priority for any deemed necessary new homes.

15 The new designation of "greybelt" is so poorly defined it is being used by local authorities and developers as an excuse to build on what is STILL greenbelt/wildlife land. This land in the proposal is not greybelt at all.

16 This application must be considered in addition to, at present, 3 other applications in total for the Camer Parade area. The other references are 20250992 Wrotham Road opposite the parade of shops (350 homes), and 20250993 adjacent to Longfield Road (120 homes) and 20251116 west of Norwood Lane (150 homes). In addition there is a further application for the Meopham area, 20250802 Blackthorn Farm Culverstone (100 homes). If approved the total of new homes would increase Meopham's housing by 65%. Let alone the Gravesham Local Plan also considering different housing sites in this same area, Meopham is meant to be a village, not an urban sprawl.

Kind regards