

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/03/2026 4:14 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Cheyne Walk Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: My objections to this development as a resident of Meopham. This development is proposed on Green Belt land. I purchased property in Meopham because it was surrounded by Green belt, understanding that development would be limited in the area. I do not want a town life style, I'd live in Gravesend if that was what I wanted; I want to maintain my rural lifestyle. The development plans I have seen look like a town. The development will link Sole Street and Meopham losing our culture as individual Kent Villages. The Times has quoted today 25th March 2026, that DEFRA are reporting that the United Kingdom is walking into a food crisis "a catastrophic failure by 2030" due to climate change, over development "habitat loss" and geopolitical instability. This development is proposed on farmland, crucial to food growing and as such should be used for that purpose. It is also the the nesting sites for Swallows and Swifts plus Pipistrelle bats feed there. The development introduces a new school - KCC has not approved any new schools and the trouble the residents have with the current schools is not acceptable. If those schools took local children only i.e. from the villages then there wouldn't be the problem with cars blocking the A227 from the Catholic Church to Meopham Green. Some days I can't get off my drive and out onto the A227. The answer from the secondary school was that they would inform the parents that they had to use the additional carparking built at the back of the doctors surgery; the parents have not listened.

There is no public transport to speak of in Meopham, buses are old and slow and are not even electric; they pollute the air. The train station has two trains an hour, still does not run to all the destinations it did prior to Covid. It is not accessible. Wheelchair users cannot get out from the coastbound side and the steps are very steep for those of us who need a stick. Each house in that development would need a minimum of two car parking spaces and if they are family houses, they probably need parking for 4 cars. That is the reality of living in Meopham because of the lack of public transport. I for one, am fed up with hearing councillors, MPS and developers proposing developments with promises of additional services that do not materialise.

There is no additional healthcare facilities and no agreement by the NHS to fund it. The local doctors surgery's have both published open documents saying that they do not have capacity. Neither do the local hospitals - Darenth Valley is not exactly local, and it has to be driven to because the public transport provision is woefully poor - you can't make early or late appointments and if they over run you can't get home! Same problem for Gravesend if you are unable to drive, it costs a fortune to get a taxi or you have to have a middle of the day appointment. At this point, I also need to add that all those involved in the developments for Meopham need to be trusted by the local community. I do not trust anyone who cannot get their research right. The open letters came about because the developers were saying that there was capacity. I would like to think this was because their was some inexperience in what a business analyst needs to produce and that it was not intentionally meant to bypass a serious problem to the residents of Meopham.

We have been subject to sinkholes in Meopham - increased traffic on the roads that have not been designed for the additional traffic that is coming from being a cut through for the M20 and M25 let alone the new crossing in Chalk. Our power mainly electric is poor with constant cuts - small cuts of 30 secs but enough for all the appliances in the house needing to be rest. Broadband is poor - Trooli cut through cables again three weeks ago, they are not deep enough under the tarmac. BT / Open Reach have promised fibre but it isn't here yet and there is no cable option. This is a rural area and so where there are tall trees or Leylandii are planted, the satellite signals cannot get through. Aerial TV is poor since the switch to digital as we are in the middle of the London and Maidstone transmitters. I have to have Sky running through broadband.

The village voted for no Street Lighting some time ago - where amenity lights have been put in there has been unsociable behaviour. The "laughing gas" cannisters behind the parade of shops are a disgrace. The traffic around the parade of shops at Camer is dangerous.

I object to this development and I would like the elected council to vote against it.

Kind regards