

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/05/2025 9:06 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Request for a screening opinion in accordance with The Town and Country Planning Act (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in respect of an EIA Screening Opinion to determine whether two outline planning applications for residential developments, one for up to 350 dwellings and one for up to 120 dwellings, at Meopham, Wrotham Road constitutes EIA development.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Tradescant Drive Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Firstly I should advise you that I am [REDACTED] thus not likely to be affected should the houses be built ,in fact I hate living in Meopham ,so I feel it would be difficult to label me a NIMBY ,(although I admit to being in the generation detested by the Labour Government) It is obvious to all impartial people that the proposed development is totally unsustainable in Meopham viz roads ,doctors ,schools etc ,in fact I believe the development has been refused in the past ,apologies if I'm wrong . What we actually have is a Birmingham firm whose only purpose in life is to make money by obtaining Planning Permission on land it has purchased ,and they have joined the feeding frenzy created by the Government,in the hope that reason and common sense will be ignored merely to achieve Ms Raynors housebuilding targets . Forget their pretence that they are part of some righteous crusade to improve the lot of the homeless by providing " affordable housing " ,if the homeless can't afford a house in Gravesham they certainly won't be able to afford one of the Richborough houses in Meopham . And the fact that the houses are being built on good arable land and are thus cheaper to build than on " grey " sites ,merely means more profits for Richborough (and who they might sell the land on to) ,and will certainly not mean they will be cheaper . My total disdain for companies like Richborough ,and the way they make their money ,knows no bounds ,but unfortunately the World is as it is ! Anyway I object to the proposals as below : 1)Arable land should be retained for our food production 2)The development cannot be sustained within Meophams already overstretched infrastructure 3) The lives and quality of life of the people of Meopham should NOT be compromised by speculators like Richborough !
-----------	---

Kind regards