

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/04/2025 9:15 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Bowesden Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent DA12 3LA
Proposal:	Application for a Proposed Lawful Development Certificate for the siting of a caravan ancillary to the lawful agricultural use.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Bowesden Lane Shorne Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I strongly object to this Lawful development certificate for the siting of a caravan ancillary to the lawful agricultural use. Firstly, it is not a caravan but a 65ft x 22ft mobile home, which is huge with study, bedrooms, boot room and living area. This application is clearly a clever way of getting a lawful certificate to locate the 'caravan' and then multiple 'caravans' will arrive. The applicant has put his address down as 'Land at Bowesden lane' this is clearly not an address, also he says he is the owner/occupier, again not true. We already have a situation in Pear Tree lane where planning has been refused but the travellers have decided to go ahead with bringing in a huge mobile home before it has been passed, the area is small .20 hectares and they want to locate two static homes, 2 caravans and a day room in that small area. The area of hardstanding has increased from 34% to at least 84%, changing the openness of the area completely. Can you imagine how many mobile homes, caravans etc can be placed on this area in Bowesden Lane stables which is absolutely huge. Whitepost Lane, Sole St is another complete shambles where even with a court injunction the travellers just go ahead and do what they want. The council need to listen to local people affected by these plans, the travellers go ahead anyway even with no permission so by at least not giving the permission in the first place the council has something legal to pursue. The lane and the track is very narrow at Bowesden Lane, there is certainly no turning point leading to the stables for a 65 foot mobile home to turn. I dare say the ancient oak trees and fencing lining the lane will be smashed down (like Whitepost Lane) to accommodate the siting of the mobile home. Have the council seen the huge area of domestic 6 foot high fencing surrounding the White Post lane encampment, which is clearly not in keeping with the rural/countryside fencing which would normally be 3.6 high post and rail fencing. Surely, the council has previous experience of travellers not abiding by any rules regarding buying a plot of landing (where NO permission has EVER been granted for planning) then they turn up and create a small village with multiple caravans, mobile homes etc. If the council grant permission they will be the laughing stock of their rate payers, giving permission knowing all the chaos and carnage that will follow. I understand that there is a tick box for granting a lawful development certificate which is not as thorough as a full planning
-----------	--

application, and that is exactly what the applicant is relying on when applying.
STRONGLY OBJECT

Kind regards