

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 20/12/2025 4:35 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>I object strongly to this application primarily due to :</p> <p>Effect on local and county road system.</p> <p>Roads concerned are used regularly by myself and are already congested.</p> <p>Access to Camer Road would create gridlock and serious danger.</p> <p>Camer road and junction to Wrotham road are too narrow to support additional traffic.</p> <p>Norwood Lane likely to be used as frequent cut through - too narrow, no lights, no paving, poor surface.</p> <p>Highway work would involve widening / paved passing places / extension 30 mph/ pedestrian crossings / pavement on Camer Road etc which I understand will not be supported by KCC.</p> <p>If it were this would result in widespread damage to hedgerow and established woodland.</p> <p>Anticipate severe risk of flooding due run-off.</p> <p>Developers may put in place system for site itself but not so on adjoining properties and roads.</p> <p>Green belt should be retained between Sole Street and Meopham.</p> <p>Focus should instead be on development of brownfield sites in vicinity of Ebbsfleet - is this not in the GBC plan ?</p> <p>Local services in village of Meopham will not cope with additional population proposed</p>
-----------	--

Kind regards