



RSPB Shorne Marshes Habitat Enhancement
Ecological Site Assessment: Report to Inform an Assessment Under the Habitats
Regulation: Planning Application Proposal Supporting Documentation PP-12831173



RSPB Shorne Marshes (RSPB,2015)

Contents

1. Introduction	3
1.1 Background	3
1.2 Habitats Regulations Assessment	4
1.3 Assessment of ‘directly connected with or necessary to the management of the that site’	5
2. The Project.....	6
2.1 Aims	6
2.2 Site	6
2.3 Description	7
3. European Site Qualifying Features	9
4. Baseline Data	11
4.1 Shorne Marshes Avian Data	11
4.2 Non-Avian Ramsar species	13
5. Potential impacts and project design and delivery mitigation	14
5.1 Design	14
5.2 Groundworks Period	16
5.2.1. Birds	16
5.2.2. Non-avian species.....	17
5.2.3. Degradation/loss of habitat	17
5.3. Post-enhancement operational period	17
6. Assessment of likely significant effects on ecological receptors.....	18
6.1 Predicting and characterising ecological impacts	18
6.2 Direct and indirect ecological impacts	18
6.3 Scoped out species and habitats.....	18

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

This report is a supporting document containing the relevant information for a Habitat Regulations Assessment and it has been prepared by the applicant, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds (RSPB), in relation to a planning permission application being made to Gravesham Council.

The application is for engineering work to be undertaken with the aim of restoring and enhancing RSPB Shorne Marshes. The application area is shown in Figure 1.

Shorne Marshes is a 133.8 hectare area of predominantly, grassland, bisected (North to South) by an World War II Concrete track, and an Environment Agency Main river (East to West). The northern boundary compromises the Thames and its associated flood defences and to the south the industrial land, the village of Chalk and agricultural land.

The land is used for the conservation of various species of conservation concern, with active habitat management carried out by the RSPB to ensure the habitats are in the right and best condition for them to thrive. The RSPB Purchased the land in 2000. Work was carried out to isolate the site from the surrounding drainage system in and various other small-scale habitat improvement works have been carried out in the following years.




Figure 1: Shorne Marshes Location

This project will deliver landscape-scale habitat restoration and innovative solutions for climate resilience in the Greater Thames Estuary.

- Surface pools dry out, depriving wader chicks of food and habitat for other species. Existing hydrological systems are inefficient and do not provide the volume of water required to maintain wet features throughout the spring and summer, this is getting worse through climate change;
- The lack of infrastructure to support grazing regimes which create the optimal grass sward structure that breeding waders need;
- Lack of nesting habitat for waders;

1.2 Habitats Regulations Assessment

This report aims to provide the relevant information to assess the potential for any likely significant effects of this project on European and Ramsar sites. The applicant will also suggest how any potential negative impacts will be avoided or mitigated so that no likely significant effect on SPA and Ramsar sites can be concluded. Furthermore, this report will demonstrate where the project is 'directly connected with or necessary to the management of the site'.

Provision 63 of The Conservation of Habitats and Species (Amendment) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019 states that:

'A competent authority, before deciding to undertake, or give any consent, permission or other authorisation for, a plan or project which—

a) is likely to have a significant effect on a European site or a European offshore marine site (either alone or in combination with other plans or projects), and

b) is not directly connected with or necessary to the management of that site,

must make an appropriate assessment of the implications of the plan or project for that site in view of that site's conservation objectives.'

Paragraph 118 of the National Planning Policy Framework gives Ramsar sites the same protection as European sites (i.e. SPAs and SACs). This means that projects in, or in the vicinity of, Ramsar sites, as well as European sites, must be assessed under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (the Habitats Regulations).

RSPB Shorne Marshes is protected under the following designations

1. **The South Thames Estuary and Marshes Site of Special Scientific Protection (SSSI)**
 - a. Citation found in Shorne_Marshes_SSSI_Citation
2. **The Thames Estuary and Marshes Ramsar Site**
 - a. Citation found in Shorne_Marshes_Ramsar_Citation




Figure 2: RSPB Shorne Marshes Designations

1.3 Assessment of 'directly connected with or necessary to the management of the that site'

Provision 63 of the Habitats Regulations states that if a plan or project is 'directly connected with or necessary to the management of that site' then no further assessment is necessary.

Guidance from the European Commission¹ states that:

'for a plan or project to be 'directly connected with or necessary to the management of that site', the 'management' component must refer to management measures that are for conservation purposes, and the 'directly' element refers to measures that are solely conceived for the conservation management of a site and not direct or indirect consequences of other activities.'

1. To enhance Shorne Marshes SSSI Favourable condition where applicable and, concurrently, to become high quality examples of these habitats. This will include delivering appropriate long-term management solutions i.e. grazing, as well as introducing new hydrological controls by undertaking groundworks and the installation of appropriate infrastructure to achieve the objective.

As well as the national wildlife sites protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. The engineering works planned to be undertaken within this project will allow the RSPB to control water levels and increase topographical variation.

¹ https://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/natura2000/management/docs/art6/natura_2000_assess_en.pdf

In doing so, the RSPB will be recreating, enhancing and restoring wetland habitats which will improve the condition of the European sites and make them resilient to the effects of climate change. The hydrological management of Shorne Marshes will add some flood storage capacity to benefit the surrounding locale, but this is secondary to the primary nature conservation purpose. Therefore, the plans are consistent with the guidelines on what constitutes a project 'directly connected with or necessary to the management of that site'.

2. The Project

2.1 Aims

This project aims to undertake engineering works to enhance the in-field topography and to install and repair hydrological bunds. This will allow the retention of surface water, being the foremost element of a wetland habitat restoration/enhancement, at the key times of the year – the breeding and wintering seasons. Since the early 1980s at Elmley Marshes on the Isle of Sheppey, this approach to converting wetland habitats has been tried and tested throughout the RSPB's tenure along the North Kent coast – and many other places in the UK. Other successful transformations have been delivered at Shorne Marshes, Northward Hill and Great Bells Farm where wetland fauna and flora now proliferate at levels not experienced previously or since the turn of the century – within an environment of widespread species declines.

2.2 Site

Currently Shorne Marshes is a functioning wetland system after works carried out by the RSPB. Work was carried out to isolate the marsh from the surrounding landscape drainage system and abstraction licence was gained from the Environment Agency.

The site is split in two by a World War II concrete track which is a Bridleway, with two distinct hydrological units, see Figure 3. Currently only Shorne West functions as a wetland due to previous improvements to the site that the RSPB has made since its purchase in 2000.




Figure 3: RSPB Shorne Marshes Hydrological Units, Shorne East and Shorne West

The site does have wildlife value hence its designation as internationally important as part of The South Thames Estuary and Marshes SSSI and Thames Marshes and Estuary Ramsar. However, nature was in a very different state at the time of the original designation as a SSSI in 1981 and its review in 1991. Shorne Marshes as a whole comprises a fraction of the SSSI, and as a consequence, necessitates that the habitat must be of the very highest quality to ensure that it has real value for all of the designated and undesignated features that should be utilising the reserve and maximising its potential.

Shorne East

For ease this Site Assessment will use quantify the whole site.

2.3 Description

This project seeks to improve the topography of the site to allow for better standing/surface water retention on site for the benefit of wintering but most especially breeding waders.

The features listed above constitute the prime step in the hydrological strategy whilst another set of elements augments the strategy and constitute the enhancements that will bring the habitat up to the highest quality. These are:

Some of the hydrological control systems put in place during the initial restoration phase have been damaged from use and now need replacing and upgrading. Drawings found in document Shorne_Marshes_Design_Specification

The features listed above constitute the hydrological strategy whilst another set of elements augments the strategy and constitute the enhancements that will bring the habitat up to the highest quality. These are:

- **Rills and scrapes** – shallow excavations that will provide increased topographical variation and resilience to maintain surface water as water draws down over the breeding season. Starting in November, water levels will be raised to reach the peak extent by January/February and, beginning in April, water levels will be lowered steadily under full control until July when no, or little, surface water will be present across the fields. Subject to rainfall, some water will remain in the base of the rills and scrapes, but this will not be actively managed. Good levels of water will remain in the ditch network within the hydrological units, but the field surface will remain dry, or predominantly so, until November when the cycle is repeated. Whilst extensive surface water is highly valuable, if it is too shallow, such as would be created if only the strategy element was delivered, the surface water will evaporate too early for breeding waders to complete reproductive cycles. This will become more pronounced according to climate change predictions. Therefore, the increased topographical variation will compensate for this and provide much improved feeding opportunities. Design principles for rills and scrapes include:



Figure 4: Rills and scrapes installed at RSPB Cliffe Pools in 2023 (PP-11351572). *Image:RSPB*

- **Bunds** –
 - Bunds – long, low, earth bunds that also serve to improve site access around the perimeters of the hydrological units and compartments which, by extension, helps to minimise disturbance by keeping the majority of access to the periphery. Design principles for perimeter lips are:
 - Crest width 4-6m wide with the crest at a minimum 400mm higher than peak water levels. The crest will also have a very slight camber to minimise rainfall ‘puddling’, thereby assisting with structural integrity.
 - Batters are shallow and will be 1:4 or 1:8 either side and 1:4 or 1:10 at the ends. Shallow batters increase the structural integrity of the lips and make them safer whilst driving along if a vehicle happens to veer off the edge.

- Created entirely from site-won earth/clay and to ensure that these features are hydrologically secure they are 'keyed-in'. This involves excavating a 2m wide by 200-300mm deep soil strip along the length of the feature at the centre of its footprint. The topsoil is removed to expose the subsoil and the resulting void is filled with site-won non-porous clay arising from other on-site excavations i.e. rills, scrapes, ditching. More site-won non-porous clay from other excavations is then placed above this to a level not more than 100mm from the finished height of the feature. The structure can then be formed to completion with more site-won clay and porous topsoil.



Figure 5: Bund installed at RSPB Higham Marshes, adjacent to Shorne Marshes in December 2022. (PP PP-11257828). Image: RSPB

- Using the natural topography of the site, from remnants of when the site saltmarsh/land drainage systems to expand the rills system. Field and length below including scape size. Using a tractor mounted spoil spreader to carry out the works.
- Rills will be created using a 22 tonne 360 to produce the spoil for the bunds

3. European Site Qualifying Features

Below is a summary of the qualifying interest features that need to be assessed for any impacts that may or may not occur as a result of the delivery of the project.

The Thames Estuary and Marshes is designated as a Ramsar wetland² because it qualifies under:

²

Criterion 2 - The site supports 1 endangered plant species and at least 14 nationally scarce plants of wetland habitats. The site supports more than 20 British Red data book invertebrates:

- **Endangered plant species**
 - a. least lettuce *Lactuca saligna*
- **Nationally scarce plants**
 - a. bulbous foxtail *Alopecurus bulbosus*, slender hare's ear *Bupleurum tenuissimum*, divided sedge *Carex divisa*, saltmarsh goosefoot *Chenopodium chenopodioides*, sea barley *Hordeum marinum*, golden samphire *Inula crithmoides*, annual beard grass *Polypogon monspeliensis*, Borrer's saltmarsh-grass *Puccinellia fasciculata*, stiff saltmarsh-grass *P. rupestris*, one-flowered glasswort *Salicornia pusilla*, clustered clover *Trifolium glomeratum*, sea clover *T. squamosum*, narrow-leaved eelgrass *Zostera angustifolia* and dwarf eelgrass *Z. noltei*

The endangered species *Bagous longitarsis* occurs on the site. The following vulnerable species occur on the site: a groundbug *Henestaris halophilus*, a weevil *Bagous cylindrus*, a ground beetle *Polystichus connexus*, a crane fly *Erioptera bivittata*, a crane fly *Limnophila pictipennis*, a horse fly *Hybomitra expollicata*, a hoverfly *Lejops vittata*, a dancefly *Poecilobothrus ducalis*, a snail-killing fly *Pteromicra leucopeza*, a solitary wasp *Philanthus triangulum* and a damselfly *Lestes dryas*. The following rare species occur on the site: a ground beetle *Anisodactylus poeciloides*, the water beetles *Aulacochthebius exaratus*, *Berosus fulvus*, *Cercyon bifenestratus*, *Hydrochus elongatus*, *H. ignicollis*, *Ochthebius exaratus* and *Hydrophilus piceus*, a beetle *Malachius vulneratus*, a rove beetle *Philonthus punctus*, a fungus beetle *Telmatophilus brevicollis*, a fly *Campsicnemus magius*, a horsefly *Haematopota bigoti*, a soldier fly *Stratiomys longicornis* and a spider *Baryphyma duffeyi*.

Criterion 5 – Assemblages of international importance: Species with peak counts in winter: 45118 waterfowl (5 year peak mean 1998/99-2002/2003)

Ramsar criterion 6 – species/populations occurring at levels of international importance. Qualifying Species/populations (as identified at designation):

Species with peak counts in spring/autumn:

- **Ringed plover**, *Charadrius hiaticula*, Europe/Northwest Africa 595 individuals, representing an average of 1.8% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Black-tailed godwit**, *Limosa limosa islandica*, Iceland/W Europe 1640 individuals, representing an average of 4.6% of the population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)

Species with peak counts in winter:

- **Grey plover**, *Pluvialis squatarola*, E Atlantic/W Africa -wintering 1643 individuals, representing an average of 3.1% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)
- **Red knot**, *Calidris canutus islandica*, W & Southern Africa (wintering) 7279 individuals, representing an average of 1.6% of the population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)
- **Dunlin**, *Calidris alpina alpina*, W Siberia/W Europe 15171 individuals, representing an average of 1.1% of the population (5 year peak mean 1998/9-2002/3)
- **Common redshank**, *Tringa totanus totanus*, 1178 individuals, representing an average of 1% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)

Contemporary data and information on waterbird trends at this site and their regional (sub-national) and national contexts can be found in the Wetland Bird Survey report, which is updated annually. See www.bto.org/survey/webs/webs-alerts-index.htm. Details of bird species occurring at levels of National importance are given in Section 22

Ramsar criterion 6 – Species currently occurring at levels of national importance:

Species with peak counts in spring/autumn:

- **Little grebe**, (*Tachybaptus ruficollis ruficollis*), Europe to E Urals, NW Africa 251 individuals, representing an average of 3.2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Little egret**, (*Egretta garzetta*), West Mediterranean 54 individuals, representing an average of 3.2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Ruff**, (*Philomachus pugnax*), Europe/W Africa 23 individuals, representing an average of 3.2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Common greenshank**, (*Tringa nebularia*), Europe/W Africa 38 individuals, representing an average of 6.3% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)

Species with peak counts in winter:

- **Common shelduck**, (*Tadorna tadorna*), NW Europe 1238 individuals, representing an average of 1.5% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Gadwall**, (*Anas strepera strepera*), NW Europe 359 individuals, representing an average of 2% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Northern shoveler**, (*Anas clypeata*), NW & C Europe 288 individuals, representing an average of 1.9% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Water rail**, (*Rallus aquaticus*), Europe 6 individuals, representing an average of 1.3% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Pied avocet**, (*Recurvirostra avosetta*), Europe/Northwest Africa 607 individuals, representing an average of 17.8% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)
- **Spotted redshank**, (*Tringa erythropus*), Europe/W Africa 6 individuals, representing an average of 4.4% of the GB population (5 year peak mean 1998/9- 2002/3)

4. Baseline Data

4.1 Shorne Marshes Avian Data

The data provided in this section has been collected by the applicant as part of our management monitoring surveys for the reserve. Unfortunately, due to the Covid-19 pandemic, no surveys were undertaken in spring and summer 2020. No WeBS surveys were undertaken in winter 2022-2023 due to staff shortages. Due to this, that year has been discounted from the data set. However, it is reasonable to make a qualitative assessment as to whether a species was likely to be present during that period and this is indicated by a '1' for avian species and a '*' for non-avian species.

The following tables present peak count records for SPA and Ramsar species breeding, on passage and wintering for the last 6 seasons across Shorne Marshes. Breeding surveys were undertaken between April and June inclusive and followed the O'Brien and Smith (1992) method. Data compiled from annual RSPB breeding bird surveys undertaken, passage surveys were conducted during September and October following WeBS methodology with wintering surveys for the period between November and March also utilising the WeBS methodology.

- **Breeding Bird Species** – Presented in Shorne_Marshes_Breeding_Birds

- **Wintering Birds counts** – presents in Shorne_Marshes_WeBS

Shorne Marshes (22813)

Grid Reference: TQ685742, **Region:** Thames Estuary - Hoo (Kent)




Figure 5: Shorne Marshes Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS) sector

Shorne Marshes – International importance						
Passage Ramsar species	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Ringed Plover	0	0	0	0	0	0
Black-tailed Godwit	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shorne Marshes – International importance						
Wintering Ramsar species	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Grey plover	0	0	0	0	0	0
Knot	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dunlin	0	2	0	0	0	0
Redshank	0	0	85	0	0	0
Shorne Marshes – National Importance						
Passage Ramsar species	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Little Grebe	0	0	0	0	0	0
Little Egret	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ruff	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greenshank	0	0	0	0	0	0
Shorne Marshes – National Importance						

Wintering Ramsar species	2016/17	2017/18	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2021/22
Shelduck	0	20	30	18	30	5
Gadwall	0	5	12	0	0	29
Shoveler	2	36	68	0	50	21
Water Rail	0	0	1	0	0	1
Avocet	0	0	0	0	0	0
Spotted Redshank	0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 1: Peak count records for Shorne Marshes between 2016 and early 2022 for each of the Ramsar designated species present during that period. No records exist for cells shaded grey due to Covid 19. SSSI species are presented in bold type.

4.2 Non-Avian Ramsar species

The following tables present the records for the presence of non-avian Ramsar species across Shorne Marshes area in the scope of this report. Information was gathered from Kent and Medway Biological Records Centre (KMBRC), the ecological records centre for Kent, in February 2022, regarding the presence of nature conservation designations and protected and notable species with a 1km buffer of the boundary of the sites.

Higher Plants	
Least lettuce <i>Lactuca saligna</i>	Not found on site. Closest Record Higham Marshes 1971-1980
Bulbous foxtail <i>Alopecurus bulbosus</i>	No record on site
Slender hare'sear <i>Bupleurum tenuissimum</i>	Last found on site 2018 but not within the area or scope of proposed works. At TQ6974
Divided sedge <i>Carex divisa</i>	Abundant across the whole site.
Saltmarsh goosefoot <i>Chenopodium chenopodioides</i>	
Sea barley <i>Hordeum marinum</i>	Found on site in 2013 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.
Golden samphire <i>Inula crithmoides</i>	Found on site in 2012 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.
Annual beard grass <i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i>	Found on site in 2012 but not within the area or scope of proposed works..
Borrer's saltmarsh-grass <i>Puccinellia fasciculata</i>	Not found on site
Stiff saltmarsh-grass <i>P. rupestris</i>	No record on site
One-flowered glasswort <i>Salicornia pusilla</i>	No record on site
Sea clover <i>T. squamosum</i>	Found just off site in 2010. TQ70207452
Clustered clover <i>Trifolium glomeratum</i>	Record from 1952
Narrow-leaved eelgrass <i>Zostera angustifolia</i>	No record on site.
Dwarf eelgrass <i>Z. noltei</i>	No record on site
Invertebrates -Engendered	
<i>Bagous longitarsis</i>	No site record. Bagous limosus, Bagous subcarinatus and Bagous tempestivus. Recorded last in 1960s.
Invertebrates – vulnerable	
<i>Henestaris halophilus</i>	No record on site

<i>Bagous cylindrus</i>	No record on site
<i>Polystichus connexus</i>	No record on site
<i>Erioptera bivittata</i>	No record on site
<i>Limnophila pictipennis</i>	No record on site
<i>Hybomitra expollicata</i>	No record on site
<i>Lejops vittata</i>	No record on site
<i>Poecilobothrus ducalis</i>	No record on site
<i>Pteromicra leucopeza</i>	No record on site
<i>Philanthus triangulum</i>	Found on site in 2013 but not within the area or scope of proposed works. TQ68037439
<i>Lestes dryas</i>	No record on site
Rare Species	
<i>Anisodactylus poeciloides</i>	Found on site in 2000 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.
<i>Aulacochthebius exaratus</i>	No record on site.
<i>Berosus fulvus</i>	No record on site.
<i>Cercyon bifeneistratus</i>	No record on site
<i>Hydrochus elongatus</i>	Four records from 2008 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.
<i>H. ignicollis</i>	Two records from 2008 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.
<i>Ochthebius exaratus</i>	No record on site
<i>Hydrophilus piceus</i>	Six records from 2008 but not within the area or scope of proposed works
<i>Malachius vulneratus</i>	No record on site
<i>Philonthus punctus</i>	No Record on site
<i>Telmatophilus brevicollis</i>	Not recorded on site since 1950 - 1955
<i>Campsicnemus magius</i>	Not recorded on site since 1980
<i>Haematopota bigoti</i>	No record on site
<i>Stratiomys longicornis</i>	No record on site
<i>Baryphyma duffeyi</i>	No record on site

5. Potential impacts and project design and delivery mitigation

5.1 Design

The design has been formulated to have a positive, long term impact on the European sites at Shorne Marshes. Whilst the design does propose a good level of intervention to unlock the reserve's latent potential, the design process has considered the appropriateness of the scale of intervention. For example, the topographical enhancements proposed – rills and scrapes. Whilst widespread, they are not considered to be excessive. The scale designed is considered the minimum required to be effective in enhancing the site for target and designated species. The aerial snapshot of Elmley NNR on the Isle of Sheppey at Figure 7 shows a large number of rills per field that will thoroughly maximise each field's value to breeding and wintering waders. Whilst dramatic when viewed from the air (less so on the ground), this approach is ecologically sound and would be considered a 'gold-standard' design. However, a 'gold-standard' design of this complexity significantly increases the complexities of delivery and brings with it an extended period of disruption where the habitat will take longer to 'settle'.

It should also be noted that whilst the cost of delivery for the design for the area in this project is far from cheap, a 'gold-standard' design would be prohibitively expensive in the current funding environment. A design that is gold standard on paper but can't be delivered due to not being able to source the funding is thoroughly useless to a habitat and the species that it seeks to transform and increase respectively.




Figure 6: ‘Gold-standard’ rill creation at Elmley National Nature Reserve on the Isle of Sheppey.

A very good example of habitat transformation that has provided inspiration for the originally conceived scheme is Great Bells Farm, directly adjacent to Elmley NNR on the Isle of Sheppey, and shown in Figure 3 below.



Figure 7: Great Bells Farm Nature Reserve on the Isle of Sheppey. The image on the right shows the site before transformation and the image on the left shows the site immediately after transformation activities.

Finally, the project needs to be delivered before the 31st January 2025. Due to the Metropolitan Police Firing Range at the Western end of Shorne Marshes, access to the site is restricted. Access for works can only be gained during the whole of August 2024 and for two weeks in January 2025.

We are planning to deliver the works across the entire project area in August 2024 (inclusive) which coincides with the least active period in respect to birdlife although careful planning and phasing will still be required to accommodate non-avian receptors. However due to timescales involved in gaining the correct consents, it is possible this will overrun into, January 2025.

5.2 Groundworks Period

During the creation of the enhancements and associated structures it is envisaged that machinery movements and construction noise will cause the following impacts without appropriate mitigation:

- Disturbance to:
 - Breeding, passage and wintering birds.
 - Non-avian species.
- Injury to, killing/destruction of:
 - Nests/eggs/chicks of ground-nesting birds.
 - Non-avian species.
- Degradation/loss of habitat.

5.2.1. Birds

To avoid impacts to breeding birds, the groundworks will be undertaken between August 2024 and 2 weeks in January 2025 at the very latest.

Whilst the aim is to finish groundworks by the end of August 2024, events may require work into January to allow completion of the programme as defined by the funding of the project. The works will be undertaken post breeding season. The nature and present quality of the habitat results in the lack of any significant birds using Shorne Marshes during this period.

The site receives extremely good coverage as part of the Wetland Bird Survey (WeBS), and therefore it can be taken with certainty that its importance as a wintering site for waders especially is limited to almost zero.

Two further defining factors will ensure that there is no potential pathway for interaction with any passage species in the proposal:

1. The passage period is dry across the works area in August to allow for mechanical management of the site, and the majority of the entire project area. The site is unsuitable and unattractive to SPA/Ramsar passage species.
2. Higham Bight, to the north east (North of Higham Marshes) of the site is a large receptor of passage birds (such as Grey Plover and Curlew), as is Cliffe Pools further to the East.
3. On the extremely slight chance that SPA/Ramsar passage species might choose to utilise unenhanced areas of Shorne Marshes during this period:
 - a. Even within each of the operational work areas, the activities are localised, meaning that any negative impacts are negligible at best and minimal at worst.
 - b. The two scenarios of delivery will have inherent mitigation:

- i. All operational units worked on at the same time in one year. An unlikely scenario in all practicality but, if enacted, timescales for activity are fully expected to be condensed. The impact of this approach would be negligible at worst to passage SPA/Ramsar species.
- ii. The part of the site that is actively managed for passage waders is outside of the work zone and not within distance that work would cause disturbance.
- iii. All operational units worked on in one year but only one, two or three at the same time. One, two or even three units can be enhanced while the remaining units remain as undisturbed refuge areas. Once the units being enhanced are completed, they become attractive refuge areas whilst the unenhanced units are being transformed.
- iv. RSPB Cliffe Pools and the saline lagoons and Higham Bight are the main receptor site on the peninsula for passage birds.

Where works extend into the wintering season, the scenario and impacts on wintering birds would be akin to point 2bii and 2biii above.

5.2.2. Non-avian species

Only Divided Sedge *Carex divisa* of non-avian species has been found on site in the last 2 years. The extent of which on site is large across all fields.

5.2.3. Degradation/loss of habitat

The habitat of Lowland Wet Grassland in the application area as designated under various synonyms in the Ramsar citations. The site suffers from poorly maintained hydrological controls and also a lack of topography within the application area. Therefore, the designated area will benefit practically from the restoration to the appropriate habitats and management.

Superficially, the cutting of new rills and scrapes could be construed as loss or degradation. However, this is not the case but an immediate enhancement – even without a green cover. They provide immediate access to soil invertebrates for species that feed on these. In fact, established rills and scrapes can become choked with vegetation. At this point their value to waders reduces significantly (which can be seen in figure 2 and 3 in the planning statement). As such, the prescribed management technique to maintain these is to soil spread and rotavate these features to control the vegetation and re-expose the soils.

The creation of the bunds will result in short term degradation, but this is mitigated by using locally won topsoil to cover the features which allows the vegetation to regenerate naturally. Whilst this strategy is a little slower than seeding with purchased seed, within a couple of seasons, these features look like they have always been there and uses the purest form of provenance – seed and root sources directly from the immediate vicinity.

The logistics of delivery could easily create unacceptable levels of short-term degradation to the habitat per se i.e. it is entirely inappropriate to have hordes of machinery tracking wherever they like and damaging field surfaces. As per the mitigation for damage to divided sedge, vehicle tracking via specified haul routes and stockpiling of materials and machinery will be kept to the minimum, and least sensitive, areas necessary.

5.3. Post-enhancement operational period

The project will result in seasonal habitat condition changes across the entire project area to a more dynamic wetland habitats. This will transform into the very definition of a Lowland Wet Grassland as designated under its various synonyms in the SPA/Ramsar citations. The long-term impacts are

significantly positive for designated species that currently using both Shorne Marsh and those that will colonise as a result of delivering this project. The impacts and, where required, mitigation for each designated species are presented in the following section.

6. Assessment of likely significant effects on ecological receptors

6.1 Predicting and characterising ecological impacts

Ecological Impact Assessment in the UK (IEEM 2006), when describing impacts, reference is made to the following, where applicable:

- **Confidence** in predictions (levels of certainty that an impact will occur as predicted), based on the following four-point scale:
 - o Certain/Near Certain ($\geq 95\%$ probability)
 - o Probable (50–95% probability)
 - o Unlikely (5–50% probability)
 - o Extremely Unlikely ($\leq 5\%$ probability)
- **Magnitude** – if an impact is deemed to be significant then its magnitude, in quantitative terms, should be assessed
- **Extent** – the area over which an impact occurs
- **Duration** – the time for which an impact is expected to last
- **Reversibility** – a permanent impact is one that is irreversible within a reasonable timescale or for which there is no reasonable chance of action being taken to reverse it; a temporary impact is one from which a spontaneous recovery is possible
- **Timing and frequency** – whether impacts occur during critical life-stages or seasons

6.2 Direct and indirect ecological impacts

Both direct and indirect impacts are considered within this assessment. A direct impact is directly attributable to a defined action such as the physical loss of a habitat or the immediate mortality of an individual of a particular species. Indirect impacts are attributable to an action, but which affect ecological resources through effects on an intermediary ecosystem, process or receptor. An example of an indirect effect would be the loss of an important prey species for a predator.

The following tables show that whilst some short term and negative impacts will be experienced, they are both reversible and easily mitigated. The overriding impact of undertaking the habitat enhancements is significant, long term positive for SPA and Ramsar receptors.

6.3 Scoped out species and habitats.

Tables 3 and 4 summarise the designated Ramsar species and habitats that:

1. Will not be impacted negatively by the delivery of the project as they have not been recorded in the application area for a minimum of three years
2. Are likely to be impacted positively in the long-term over the post-enhancement operational period.

3. Will never be impacted positively or negatively as they are not found in a Lowland Wet Grassland habitat.

Ramsar species, assemblage or habitat	Rationale for scope out	Other observations
Passage Birds		
Ringed Plover	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that Ringed plover will start to utilise the application area during passage with a confidence level of Probable .
Black-tailed Godwit	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that Black-tailed Godwit will start to utilise the application area during passage with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Wintering birds		
Grey plover	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Unlikely .
Knot	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Unlikely .
Dunlin	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Unlikely .
Redshank	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Passage Ramsar species - National Importance		
Little Grebe	Small number of records with recording area.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .

Little Egret	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Ruff	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Greenshank	No records in last 6 years	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Wintering Ramsar species – National Importance		
Shelduck	Regular occurrence on site. However these are in the main area of the Reserve (Shorne Marshes West) rather than the application areas that are dry and a reedbed.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Gadwall	Regular occurrence on site. However these are in the main area of the Reserve (Shorne Marshes West) rather than the application areas that are dry and a reedbed.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Shoveler	Regular occurrence on site. However these are in the main area of the Reserve (Shorne Marshes West) rather than the application areas that are dry and a reedbed.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Water Rail	No record in last 6 years However these are in the main area of the Reserve (Shorne Marshes West) rather than the application areas that are dry and a reedbed.	The habitat enhancements will have no impact on this species due to the habitat available to them is not appropriate. It is expected that this species will not utilise the application area during winter with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Avocet		
Spotted Redshank		
Invertebrates		
<i>Lestes dryas</i>	No record on site, but present on nearby sites. Survey carried out by Project surveyor in August 2022 found no presence on site.	Whilst the habitat enhancements would definitely benefit these species with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain ,

		colonisation would be assessed as Probable
<i>Bagous longitarsis</i> <i>Henestaris halophilus</i> <i>Bagous cylindrus</i> <i>Polystichus connexus</i> <i>Erioptera bivittata</i> <i>Limnophila pictipennis</i> <i>Hybomitra expollicata</i> <i>Lejops vittata</i> <i>Poecilobothrus ducalis</i> <i>Pteromicra leucopeza</i> <i>Philanthus triangulum</i> <i>Anisodactylus poeciloides</i> <i>Aulacochthebius exaratus</i> <i>Berosus fulvus</i> <i>Cercyon bifenestratus</i> <i>Hydrochus elongatus</i> <i>H. ignicollis</i> <i>Ochthebius exaratus</i> <i>Hydrophilus piceus</i> <i>Malachius vulneratus</i> <i>Philonthus punctus</i> <i>Telmatophilus brevicollis</i> <i>Campsicnemus magius</i> <i>Haematopota bigoti</i> <i>Stratiomys longicornis</i> <i>Baryphyma duffeyi</i>	No records in last 2 years, many in last 40 years.	Whilst the habitat enhancements would definitely benefit these species with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain , colonisation would be assessed as Extremely Unlikely
Higher Plants		
Divided sedge <i>Carex divisa</i>	Abundant and dominant across site.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Saltmarsh goosefoot <i>Chenopodium chenopodioides</i>	Last record 2018- on Shorne common, not within the application area	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Probable
Annual beard grass <i>Polypogon monspeliensis</i>	Found on site in 2012 but not within the area or scope of proposed works	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Probable
Borrer's saltmarsh-grass <i>Puccinellia fasciculata</i>	Not found on site	The habitat enhancements will not have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely .
Bulbous foxtail <i>Alopecurus bulbosus</i>	No record on site	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected

		that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely.
Least lettuce <i>Lactuca saligna</i>	Not found on site	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely.
Slender hare'sear <i>Bupleurum tenuissimum</i>	Last found on site 2018 at TQ6974	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Probable
Sea barley <i>Hordeum marinum</i>	Found on site in 2013 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Probable
Stiff saltmarsh-grass <i>P. rupestris</i>	No record on site	The habitat enhancements will not have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely.
One-flowered glasswort <i>Salicornia pusilla</i>	No record on site	The habitat enhancements will not have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely.
Sea clover <i>T. squamosum</i>	Found just off site in 2010. TQ70207452	The habitat enhancements will not have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Probable.
Clustered clover <i>Trifolium glomeratum</i>	Record from 1952	The habitat enhancements will not have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Extremely Unlikely.
Golden samphire <i>Inula crithmoides</i>	Found on site in 2012 but not within the area or scope of proposed works.	Whilst the habitat enhancements would definitely benefit these species with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain , colonisation would be assessed as Probable

		due to a population that is local to the reserve
- Narrow-leaved eelgrass <i>Zostera angustifolia</i> - Dwarf eelgrass <i>Z. noltei</i>	No site records	The habitat enhancements will have a direct, long-term positive impact. It is expected that this species will resume utilising the application area with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain .
Habitats		
Coastal Intertidal sediments (Including sandflat/mudflat) Estuary	Not present on application site	Certain/Near Certain for no change and no impacts positive or negative
Floodplain: Brakish and freshwater, including ditches.	Present on application site.	Whilst the habitat enhancements would benefit this habitat by creating a bigger and better capacity floodplain with a confidence level of Certain/Near Certain ,

Ramsar species or assemblage	Activity/Source of impact	Nature of potential impacts on receptor	Significance of impact on receptor	Confidence level	Mitigation required	Residual significance	Confidence Level
Wintering Birds – International Importance							
Grey Plover - No records in last 6 years Dunlin - No records in last 6 years Knot - No records in last 6 years Redshank - No records in last 6 years	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased numbers of wintering birds including an increase in species appropriate to a functioning wet grassland habitat	Certain/ Near Certain	None	n/a	n/a
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works in one intensive phase across all operational units	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Works will mostly be happening in August, with potentially some run over during 2 weeks in January if required. Numbers to date are very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and the rest of the	Certain/ Near Certain	Works undertaken outside of wintering period. Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted Groundworks period and in the separate CEMP(B) This scenario is considered highly unlikely and would only occur if significant delays in starting are experienced.	None	Certain/ Near Certain

			WeBS sector data is taken from and similarly low and sparsely distributed for the extent of area available to wintering birds on the Hoo peninsular, due to the habitat not meeting their requirements.				
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works in one year across all operational units but only one, two or three worked on at the same time	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Works will mostly be happening in August, with potentially some run over during 2 weeks in January if required Numbers of passage birds are expected to remain very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements	Certain/ Near Certain	Works undertaken outside of wintering period Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted which is set out in Groundworks period and in the separate CEMP(B)	None	Certain/ Near Certain
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works during latter stages of	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Numbers of wintering birds are expected to remain very low and	Certain/ Near Certain	Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted which is set out in Section 5.2. Groundworks period and in the separate CEMP(B)	None	Certain/ Near Certain

	the project if not completed by August 2024		sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements		This scenario is considered unlikely and would only occur if significant delays in the starting are experienced		
Passage Birds – International Importance							
Ringed Plover - No records in last 6 years Black-tailed Godwit - No records in last 6 years	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased numbers of spring passage birds including an increase in species appropriate to a functioning wet grassland habitat.	Certain/ Near Certain	None	n/a	n/a
	Disturbance to spring passage birds during habitat enhancement and engineering works	Direct Temporary negative	Significant negative	Certain/ Near Certain	Numbers of passage birds are expected to remain very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements. The site will be kept dry to remove optimal habitat and to allow for management of <i>Crassula Helmsii</i> . See document <i>Shorne_Marshes_SSSI_Consent_Crassula</i>	None	Certain/ Near Certain

Passage Ramsar species – National Importance							
Little Grebe – No records in 6 years Little Egret – No records in 6 years. Ruff - No records in 6 years Greenshank – No records in 6 years.	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased numbers of spring passage birds including an increase in species appropriate to a functioning wet grassland habitat	Certain/ Near Certain	None	n/a	n/a
	Disturbance to spring passage birds during habitat enhancement and engineering works	Direct Temporary negative	Significant negative	Certain/ Near Certain	Numbers of passage birds are expected to remain very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements. The site will be kept dry to remove optimal habitat and to allow for management of Crassula Helmsii. See document Shorne_Marshes_SSSI_Consent_Crassula	None	Certain/ Near Certain
Wintering Ramsar species – National Importance							
Shelduck – multiple records Gadwall – multiple records Shoveler – multiple records Water Rail – no records in last 6 years.	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions	Certain/ Near Certain	Works undertaken outside of wintering period	n/a	n/a

Avocet – no records in last 6 years. Spotted Redshank - no records in last 6 years.	management		resulting in increased numbers of wintering birds including an increase in species appropriate to a functioning wet grassland habitat				
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works in one intensive phase across all operational units	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Numbers to date are very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and the rest of the WeBS sector data is taken from and similarly low and sparsely distributed for the extent of area available to wintering birds on the Hoo peninsular, due to the habitat not meeting their requirements. The habitat will be made sub-optimal before works to ensure these species are not present and also to ensure the site is dry enough for works to be carried out. No abstraction will be carried out and therefore not surface flooding on site, reducing	Certain/ Near Certain	Works undertaken outside of wintering period Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted Groundworks period separate CEMP(B) This scenario is considered highly unlikely and would only occur if significant delays in starting are experienced.	None	Certain/ Near Certain

			habitat quality until the works are carried out.				
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works in one year across all operational units but only one, two or three worked on at the same time	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Numbers of wintering birds are expected to remain very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements	Certain/ Near Certain	Works undertaken outside of wintering period Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted Groundworks period and in the separate CEMP(B) This scenario is considered unlikely and would only occur if significant delays in the starting are experienced	None	Certain/ Near Certain
	Disturbance during habitat enhancement and engineering works during latter stages of the project if not completed by December 2022	Direct Temporary negative	Not significant Numbers of wintering birds are expected to remain very low and sparsely distributed across the application area and similarly low and sparsely distributed in areas not enhanced due to the habitat not meeting their requirements	Certain/ Near Certain	Whilst not directly required according to the assessment of significance, a mitigation strategy will be enacted Groundworks period and in the separate CEMP(B) This scenario is considered unlikely and would only occur if significant delays in the starting are experienced	None	Certain/ Near Certain
Higher plants							
Carex divisa	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will	Certain/ Near Certain			

	functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management		provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased in abundance due to the site being wetter for long in the spring and summer.				
	Disturbance/damage during habitat enhancement and engineering works in one intensive phase across all operational units	Direct Temporary negative	Not Significant Works carried out in winter, after the site has been grazed and the plant has died off. Movement around site will be restricted to perimeter of fields where drier and the species is not found.	Certain/ Near Certain			
	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased in abundance due to the site being wetter for long in the spring and summer.				
Habitats							

Coastal Floodplain: • Grazing Marsh: Brackish and Freshwater • Ditches	Changes in habitat from a principally dry system to functional and dynamic wetland habitats with appropriate ongoing management	Direct Long term positive	Significant positive The enhancements and ongoing management will provide vastly superior habitat conditions resulting in increased numbers of spring passage birds including an increase in species appropriate to a functioning wet grassland habitat. Increase in breeding species associated with wet grassland such as Lapwing, redshank and wildfowl.	Certain/ Near Certain	None	n/a	n/a
	Degradation/loss of habitat during habitat enhancement and engineering works	Direct Short term negative Reversible	Moderate negative	Certain/ Near Certain	Keep vehicle tracking across site and work areas to the minimum area necessary i.e. use specified haul routes Make all possible efforts to programme the delivery of the works during dry/drier conditions Rotavate any significant rutting caused by vehicle movements	Not significant	Certain/ Near Certain

					Keep stockpiling of materials and machinery to the minimum area necessary Remove all unrequired materials immediately from areas as they are finished Allow vegetation to re-colonise naturally (from soil seed bank and surrounding vegetation) Undertake the enhanced surface water regime as soon as feasible to promote the re-vegetation process Undertake grazing and topping as soon as feasible to manage the short-term injurious weed (thistle) burden		
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

