

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/02/2025 10:44 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Change of Use of Land to form a Gypsy/Traveller site comprising the siting of 1 Mobile Home, 1 Touring Caravan, and 1 Dayroom.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Baker Close Sole Street Cobham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Whilst not directly a nieghbour, the land in question lies some three hundred yards behind my property, with a wood in between. I walk my dog around this site on a regular basis, and drive up and down White Post Lane past this site on a regular basis.

I am amazed that the council are only now considering taking action to prevent further development of this site, as it has gone from open farmland / grazing land, to a bit of fencing, then one entrance with a bit of hard standing, then stables with horses. This morphed into living accomodation, and now I believe there are three entrances, three or four mobile homes, and the damage done to the surrounding woodland whilst those mobile homes and bought in is horrendous. It has been likened to a scene from a World War 1 film, with broken trees littering the road, severe damage to the verges, and rubbish strewn around the general area.

I find it amazing that development on this scale and with the speed that this has happened has not been stopped before! Unless this is stopped, the development will go on and on, with more and more caravans and mobile homes arriving, with the subsequent damage and congestion that we have been experiencing over the last few years getting worse and worse.

I personally have returned two dogs to this site that were terrorising the local community, purely because they were large dogs on the loose, not because they were vicious (they were actually very likable!).

I object strongly to this application, and would like the application to be refused. This is a retrospective application - all this is already there! What happened to doing the right thing. Submitting an application - getting approval - then building. This develeopment makes a mockery of the whole planning process, and the council administering it!

Kind regards