

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/03/2026 3:39 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Blenheim Close Meopham Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	This development is completely inappropriate for multiple reasons, including: Village Identity - this development links Meopham to Sole Street, this is against planning guidance, village identity should be retained and 'infill' should not happen. Flooding - the area is prone to flooding already, the removal of natural drainage, increased traffic on already poorly maintained roads will only make things worse. Traffic - there could easily be over 2000 people living on this development, that massive increase in cars, the only real transport option for residents, will increase traffic levels on the small country lanes in the immediate vicinity significantly. That is just plain dangerous. There is then the main A227, nowhere in the documentation provided is the Lower Thames Crossing accounted for. It will increase traffic significantly on this road as people use it as a cut through from the M20 to the A2. It is already a busy, dangerous road with limited crossings and narrow broken or non-existent footpaths. Adding additional significant traffic volumes to that is unacceptable. The two local GP surgeries have both confirmed in writing that they have no capacity, so where will these people get a GP appointment? Whilst a financial contribution to the NHS can be made, it doesn't actually address this issue - Meopham Surgery for example has no space available to expand, so no financial contribution will address that fact. You cannot approve development when there is not the access to such basic facilities as a GP and this application does not
-----------	--

address that in any way at all.

Water - has Southern Water, given their recent comments on another development in the reasonably local area actually confirmed that they have capacity/provision for this development. If not, then it cannot be approved, especially on the back of last years extended hosepipe ban. Clearly the existing provision cannot cope with existing usage, let alone any further usage.

Brownfield First - all the time sites in the borough such as the derelict hospital in the town centre remain undeveloped, no greenfield site should be developed.

Addressing these derelict sites must be the initial priority, they are closer to facilities, transport and dealing with them would actually improve the borough for all.

It is clear that this scale of development, especially when looked at cumulatively with the other recent submissions is completely inappropriate for the area and the facilities/services available.

It must be rejected.

KR



Kind regards