

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/11/2025 7:27 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Part retrospective change of use of land to Gypsy/Traveller site comprising 2 individual pitches with the siting of a total of 3 Mobile Homes, 2 Touring Caravans, and 2 Dayrooms.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Sallows Shaw Sole Street Cobham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Objection Nov 25 Having saved all our lives to move to an idyllic quiet village we are now watching it change on a daily basis to a 'free for all society who think it's ok to do it their way'. The pattern is very evident in all the applications and have been made after the event has occurred, who then have to duly follow the correct procedures. As law abiding citizens we are witnessing the destruction of beautiful green belt land. We pay our rates, taxes, and dutifully apply for planning and wait for the outcome of such. How is it right that you allow someone to apply after the event? At Whitepost Lane, Sole Street we are witnessing daily the destruction and changes to our environment. We are witnessing the destruction of nature's habitat, wildlife, trees, fields, and light pollution from unnecessary bright lights. (We have no street lights and like it that way.) Our wildlife is being displaced and we have noticed an increase in these visiting our gardens where as before they were probably dwelling in their usual habitat - open fields! An example of this was the elusive Red Leg Partridge (who's numbers are in decline) wandering around our front gardens recently and we no longer hear the Tawny Owls. Over 100 trees and hedgerows were damaged late last year due to the arrival of a static home too big for the lane and more recently a beautiful oak was hacked down as it was in the way of yet another static caravan being delivered. The verges are being obliterated as these huge vehicles try and manoeuvre. The beautiful fields have been hemmed in by unsightly 6ft fencing a massive walled entrance and 3 sets of iron gates. The fields themselves no longer exist and are covered in tarmac, one of the least environmentally friendly substances, no doubt the lane will be flooded more than usual due this. The arrival and emersion of massive cesspits (at least 3) has been ignored and no doubt will require a large disposal lorry to empty them. The lane is too narrow
-----------	---

to sustain regular use like this.

The quantity of large vehicles has increased with the lane being blocked to local residents and visitors whilst unplanned works and static vans are delivered. Not only are these an inconvenience but also when this happens emergency vehicles would have as locals have had to do, turn around and find another route.

The proposed amount of static mobile homes will no doubt cause even more litter and household waste, where will this go? The lane has already seen an increase in the amount of litter and rubbish and its verges squashed as large vehicles mount them trying to avoid other vehicles.

Who will monitor that the new homes have enough space between them in case of the event of a fire? (A recent fire featured in the news highlighted that this was a problem and dangerous.) When a proper builder sets about building he has so many rules in place - why do these not apply as well?

How and where are the static homes getting their services such as water and electric supplies from?

These are my objections, and whilst I appreciate that this community needs a place to live, why should our green belt land be the sacrifice to someone who has blatantly not followed the laws that we are expected to follow?

Kind regards