

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/11/2025 9:32 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land South Of White Post Lane Cobham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Application for the approval of condition 4, 6, 7, 8, 9 and 11 attached to planning reference number 20241164 and appeal decision APP/K2230/W/25/3363212 dated 14 July 2025 relating to details of its external finishes and materials (4), details of a strategy to deal with cr foul water drainage (6), details of a strategy for surface water drainage (7), details of ecological enhancements for the site (8), details of soft landscaping for the site (9) and external lighting (11).
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] White post Lane Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I would like to strongly object to the planning application on the site for the following reasons as stated below: 1) Since this site as been in operation there has been significant tarmacking which has resulted in a completely unjust environmental impact with regard to the flooding that has occurred on these country unlit lanes 2) The road of white post lane is far too narrow for the sheer value and width of the vehicles being used. Sole street is a hamlet and is therefore an unsuitable for the volume of traffic and usage this site has already generate and will only get worse should the planning go ahead. 3) Already villages have been verbally assaulted and threatened with physically violence when challenging the illegal actions of those on the camp site. Even when not challenging these members they are aggressive and dismissive to the local community. People shouldn't feel scared and unsafe in their own homes and communities. Those that do challenge them are scared of any fall back or follow through on the threats made to them. 4) The trees and banks along the side of the roads have already had irreparable and devastating damage to them. This is through low loaders and lorry's coming down unfit roads and dragging the caravans which won't fit through the narrow lanes. I would be questioning the legality around movement orders on this. These aggressive site members have taken it upon themselves to chainsaw and cut down 1000 year old trees causing untold criminal damage. There is no respect for not only the land owners and the blatant criminal damage and therefore no respect for law but also no respect for nature. Trees cannot be replaced and residence live out here to respect and immerse in the nature of the countryside- not to see it destroyed by illegal site members. If you cannot respect the nature and countryside that you are privileged enough
-----------	---

to be around then you shouldn't be allowed to reside in the area.

5) this lane is very popular with dog walkers, walkers, cyclists and horse riders but has now become dangerous and hazardous meaning those that came to the countryside for peace, quiet and a better quality of life now are having this effected.

6) rubbish and fly tipping has seen a huge rise since this site has been in use- not to mention burning of various substances on the site

7)the area is not fit for the sewage and water piping that would be required for such a site

I strong disagree with this order to give the permission for a site to be approved and do not understand how in anyone's good consciousness this could be approved

Kind regards