

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 27/11/2025 4:37 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] White Hill Road Meopham Gravesend

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>The location for this sort of development is inappropriate, the A227 is already a busy road, particularly at the Camer parade where traffic from/to Longfield and Dartford exits / joins the A227. The location is opposite a primary school, where concerns over the safety of children has been an ongoing discussion for many years due to the number of HGV and private cars on the A227.</p> <p>In the past the provision of a pedestrian crossing has been rejected as the location is not suitable due to sight lines and cost.</p>

The addition of 350 houses with associated traffic both during construction and once it is operation will make the traffic even worse. As a village there are no viable alternative means of transport. The development is likely to attract families due to the location of the schools (capacity to accommodate additional pupils not assessed). It is assumed that the additional 350 properties will result in only 179 morning peak and 182 evening peak additional journeys, (section 7.9 transport assessment). This appears a huge under representation of the likely additional traffic due to the nature of the development.

The same document states that Meopham station has a carpark capacity of 167 spaces, with no indication of how many are already utilised. As the post covid homeworking reduces the carpark is increasingly busy, I would expect that there is insufficient capacity for the additional demand caused by the development. There are no alternative parking locations available for those seeking to use the railway.

The statements in the Transport assessment are disingenuous and inaccurate, particularly section 5.26 where the route to and from the station is deemed "suitable for cyclist of all abilities", clearly the author has never cycled the route, when travelling from the station to camer parade whilst the gradient may be less than 3%, it is a long and continuous uphill and is very strenuous, particularly by Bartellas and just before Camer parade. I believe that non athletic adults would not be able to cycle this route. The road is unstable with significant dips which throw your bike across the road. It also and has a number of pinch points where vehicles and bikes often come into conflict. It is not a pleasant or safe cycleway.

Indeed, I became so concerned over my safety I stopped cycling this route a number of years ago. A paper assessment clearly does not demonstrate the true risks of this route. I do not understand how this conclusion has been reached, it is a foolhardy conclusion endangering peoples lives.

Despite the drainage assessment I feel that the loss of a farmers field with the associated run off absorption could result in additional flooding, the site is sloped and all additional run off will cascade on the the A227 / Camer parade.

This proposed development is in green belt land and no case has been submitted to address the exceptional circumstances which would allow the project to proceed. it is the wrong development in the wrong place and should not be approved.

---

Kind regards