

Dear Ms Amanda Cue

REF Planning 20251290

We have looked at the plans and have the following objections;

House is not on plot of original and by being placed back beyond original footprint will block light out of bathroom and dining room. We suspect it will also affect light into the front of the property.

Proposed removal of conifer hedge. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]. It does protrude into the land, and would need to be shaved back of overhang.

Lack of clarity on what would replace the conifer hedge, should it be removed. There is no mention of a fence, just some low-lying shrubs and perennials. So, how will the perimeter be secured and what would that also mean to light into the bathroom, breakfast room, dining room and now also the Kitchen dependent on size of fence proposed

As the breakfast room will directly overlook their patio, we are therefore concerned the new owners will feel the need to put up a tall fence. This will block out light into [REDACTED] property, which already struggles with natural light due to it's design.

Proposed planting of 2 x silver birch. Will quickly block out a lot of light into Garden/Barn as these are very fast growing tall trees

We would not be prepared to lower [REDACTED] fences as they will afford us privacy from their drive

We feel another property built next to No.1 will cause even more dangerous road conditions. No, 1 and No, 2 do not have any off-road parking, therefore vehicles are generally parked in front of the 'Plot' that they wish to build on. This will mean more cars parked across [REDACTED] front gate and potentially drive. Given the road has very poor visibility in both directions as there is a bend with no speed restrictions it will make harder and even more dangerous to pull out. We have already had several near misses, and this will only make that worse

Further to prior points, parking further cars on the road will cause the houses/bungalows opposite even more difficulty accessing their drives safely Gore Green Road is a busy road, with lots of farm vehicles, lorries accessing the Industrial Units in Canal Road, plus buses etc. The road has no pedestrian footpath, and it is already very difficult to walk safely down the road more vehicles will exacerbate this further

In summary we object based on the impact to light, the proximity, the edging between the properties and that we feel building another property, with potentially another 2/4 vehicles would be extremely dangerous to both drivers and pedestrians..

We are also aware planning has been rejected multiple times, so feel unless the reasons for those rejections have been addressed this on its own should be enough to have it rejected again.