

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/07/2024 11:09 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Cobham House Vineyard Gold Street Cobham Gravesend Kent DA12 3AA
Proposal:	Application to determine if prior approval is required for a proposed; Erection of a winery building and hardstanding for Agricultural or Forestry use of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) (England) Order 2015 (as amended) - Schedule 2, Part 6.
Case Officer:	Mr Ethan Bonthron

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	Hi, Just to add my objections to the several hundred on here already, OPPOSING THE BLATENT LOCATION This LOCATION should be REFUSED because: - its on an EXPOSED and very sensitive boundary between the villages, and is window to the AONB. It's so iconic and characteristic of the area its part of it's cultural heritage! - the OPEN SPACE would be replaced by a huge shed that would be a visual bridge between the houses on Gold Street and Greenlands - like an enclosure was being allowed! The unique scenic quality would be gone forever.- needlessly. -The TRANQUILITY of that area at the top of the hill would be shattered by the commotion, disturbance, noise, lighting, that would affect many, many people, and that's even before you factor in the bottling plant, visitors and all that stuff doesnt need putting on full public display. It would wreck the enjoyment of the area the most. I really think Gravesham can do better than taking the summit of a National Landmark area and sticking a mammoth black shed with a bottling plant on top of it. A good decision should protect seeing and enjoying a prominent natural landscape. the peace and quiet it brings, the wide open landscape with no obvious human intrusion. There are plenty of places this could go without murdering the scenic beauty and tranquility. And putting next to where people live is just callous (or greedy and nasty more likely). The reason its beautiful now is people have looked after it for thousands of years. If anyone thinks you can hide something like this at the top of a hill in plain sight (the 'screening' in the plans is just laughable, frankly) - then
-----------	--

they are deluded.

The best way to screen this is to ONLY ALLOW IT somewhere discrete and out of the way, where you don't know it's there.

Kind regards