

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/04/2025 11:17 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Request for a screening opinion in accordance with The Town and Country Planning Act (Environmental Impact Assessment) Regulations 2017 in respect of an EIA Screening Opinion to determine whether two outline planning applications for residential developments, one for up to 350 dwellings and one for up to 120 dwellings, at Meopham, Wrotham Road constitutes EIA development.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Timberbank Vigo Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I strongly oppose the development of any new housing on this site for the following reasons: 1. Environmental and Landscape Impact: The proposed development site lies within or adjacent to valued countryside that plays a vital role in maintaining the rural character of Meopham. Any large-scale residential development would result in significant harm to the landscape, biodiversity, and overall environmental quality of the area. 2. Infrastructure Strain: Meopham's current infrastructure-including roads, healthcare services, schools, and public transport-is already under pressure. Adding hundreds of new homes would severely impact the community's ability to access essential services. 3. Traffic and Safety Concerns: Wrotham Road (A227) is a key route that is already congested during peak hours. A development of this scale would dramatically increase traffic levels, leading to greater risk of accidents, air pollution, and disruption to existing residents. 4. Contrary to Local Development Plan: This proposal does not align with the current Local Plan or Neighbourhood Plan policies that seek to preserve the village's character and limit unsustainable growth. The scale of this development is excessive and inappropriate for the location. Given the scale and potential cumulative effects of both developments (totalling 470 dwellings), I urge the planning authority to determine that the proposals do constitute EIA development under the 2017 Regulations and therefore require a full Environmental Impact Assessment. I respectfully request that these developments be strongly opposed and not permitted to proceed.
-----------	--

Kind regards