

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/12/2025 5:26 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Foxendown Lane Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I object to this application due to its unacceptable impact on highways, drainage, wildlife, pollution, and local services, especially when combined with the nearby 350-home proposal.

1. Unacceptable Cumulative Traffic Impact

This site is near the 350-home proposal. Combined, the developments could generate well over 1,500-2,000 vehicle movements per day. Our narrow village lane cannot sustain such traffic neither can other surrounding lanes and all are already gridlocked at peak times and problem times.

This raises:

pedestrian safety concerns

emergency access constraints

increased air pollution near homes and shops

This conflicts with both the NPPF and Gravesham Local Plan highway policies.

2. Drainage and Flooding Concerns

As with the larger proposal, the area is known for flood-prone lanes some of which do not have mains drainage. Removing permeable greenfield land will significantly worsen flooding for existing residents.

No adequate Sustainable Drainage Solution (SuDS) has been demonstrated.

3. Loss of Wildlife Habitat

The area supports a wide variety of wildlife, including badgers, which are

protected under the Protection of Badgers Act 1992. Cumulative habitat fragmentation will occur if both developments proceed. Our lanes and surrounding areas are known to support mating hawks, owls, kestrels, bats, badgers, and many species

4. Lack of Local Infrastructure Capacity

Local GPs, schools, buses and the Darent Valley Hospital are already struggling. No meaningful infrastructure expansion is included with the proposal.

Conclusion

This development represents unsustainable cumulative overdevelopment that would overwhelm infrastructure, harm wildlife, increase flooding risk, and severely compromise road safety. It should be refused.

Kind regards