

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 01/12/2025 5:37 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Foxendown Lane Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>Summary of Objection</p> <p>I strongly object to this application on the grounds of highway safety, drainage and flood risk, loss of wildlife habitat, air quality impacts, over-capacity local services, and conflict with the Gravesham Local Plan and NPPF. The scale of 350 dwellings is wholly inappropriate for a village of this size and would cause significant, long-term harm.</p> <p>1. Drainage, Flooding and Pollution</p> <p>We as well others do not have mains drainage, and the surrounding lanes are known to flood regularly. The development site currently provides essential natural drainage. Its loss would greatly increase surface-water run-off into already compromised lanes.</p>

2. Highway Safety, Traffic and Parking

The road network is already at breaking point. The lanes are narrow, frequently gridlocked, and unsafe for increased volumes of cars, delivery vans and service vehicles. A development of 350 homes could mean 700-1,000+ additional vehicle movements daily, which the roads cannot absorb. There are several blind and black spots around this site, opposite The George Pub, Green lane and The parade, this traffic increase will compound the danger at these spots.

3. Wildlife and Biodiversity Loss

The site and surrounding area support extensive wildlife, including:

badgers

hedgehogs

owls and bats, kestrels, red kites, field and farmland bird species

amphibians and small mammals

The scale of this proposal would fundamentally reduce habitat connectivity. The ecological assessment does not adequately account for cumulative loss created by several simultaneous applications. This contradicts NPPF Chapter 15 on conserving and enhancing the natural environment.

4. Pressure on Local Infrastructure

Local GP surgeries and Darent Valley Hospital are already at capacity, with long waiting times and no funded expansion. Schools, buses and local facilities would also be overwhelmed. The application provides no credible mitigation.

5. Cumulative Overdevelopment

This proposal sits alongside an additional 150-home application almost opposite it, plus a further 120-home proposal in the village. Assessing it in isolation is misleading; the combined impact is severe and unacceptable.

Conclusion

For these reasons, I request that this application be refused.

Kind regards