

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 06/03/2026 4:32 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Camer Street Meopham, Gravesham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Objection to Proposed Development

I wish to register my strong objection to this planning application due to the serious and lasting harm it would cause to highway safety, local infrastructure, village character, and the surrounding environment.

Unsuitable road network

The proposal relies on a single vehicular access onto Camer Park Road, a narrow rural lane that is entirely unsuitable for the level of traffic this development would generate. The proximity of this access to Camer Street creates an inherently unsafe situation for vehicles, cyclists, horse riders, and pedestrians. Any increase in traffic volumes will significantly raise the risk of accidents on roads that were never designed for this purpose.

Severe traffic impact

The introduction of over 1,000 additional dwellings will inevitably result in a substantial increase in daily vehicle movements. Camer Park Road, Green Lane, and Wrotham Road already experience congestion at peak times, and this development will worsen delays, pollution, and noise for existing residents. The

cumulative traffic impact appears to have been underestimated.

School-related congestion

The proposed new school will add a further layer of traffic pressure. Experience elsewhere shows that school traffic creates intense congestion during morning and afternoon peak periods, often involving parents travelling from outside the immediate area. This will compound existing problems and further compromise road safety.

Flooding concerns

Parts of the site have experienced flooding in recent months, which calls into question the suitability of the land for large-scale development. Any failure in drainage design would risk increased flooding to neighbouring land and properties, contrary to sound planning principles.

Loss of rural character and village identity

This development would remove a significant area of open farmland that currently acts as a vital green buffer between Meopham and Sole Street. The loss of this separation would result in the effective merging of the two settlements, permanently eroding their distinct identities and rural setting.

Strain on local services

The absence of a proposed GP surgery or medical facility is a serious omission. Existing healthcare services in Meopham are already under pressure and are unlikely to cope with the significant population increase this development would bring.

Environmental and wildlife impact

The scale of development proposed will lead to the destruction of valuable countryside and wildlife habitats. The impact on biodiversity and the enjoyment of the landscape by existing residents has not been adequately addressed.

Conclusion

For the reasons outlined above-unsuitable access, traffic and highway safety concerns, flood risk, loss of village separation, pressure on local services, and environmental harm-I believe this application is unsustainable and should be refused.

Kind regards