

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/12/2025 10:41 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I would like to object to this proposal for a number of reasons 1. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with this development the A227 is such a busy road already and when either the A2, M20 m25 have problems it becomes a rat run for hundreds of commuters which clog up the surrounding roads and country lanes (yes we are a village)making them gridlocked with also contributes to the noise and environmental pollution in the area which is already compromised by existing traffic. Last year alone the were over 600 speeding incidents recorded along this stretch of road and that will only continue to increase along with accidents regularly seen.increased numbers of cars and especially heavy Lorries will add to deterioration of the roads causing sink holes of which we've already seen on this road.Also not sure how you can make safe access to the already busy main artery of Kent Highways,let alone adding 100 more houses and twice as many cars. 2. Traffic and parking a Camer parade is a nightmare as it stands now without an extra 350 houses! 3. If affordable houses are to be added how are the residents going to get about as we have a very poor bus service that runs through infrequently and also a train station car park that is already full to capacity on most days. 4. The visual impact will be catastrophic to locals. 5. The site has not been previously developed and does not meet any criteria for 'Grey Belt'. 6. Proposals go against recent Government National Planning Policy Framework - [] Paragraph 116 unacceptable impact on highway safety.
-----------	---

- [] 198 development should be appropriate to location; pollution (affecting health) living conditions and the natural environment .Ancient woodland loss or permanent damage to irreplaceable habitats.
 - [] 156 release from green belt, affordable housing must be included- how will those in these homes move about with the little or no public transport .
-

Kind regards