

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/12/2025 10:53 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>I would like to object to this proposal for a number of reasons</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. We do not have the infrastructure to cope with this development the A227 is such a busy road already and when either the A2, M20 m25 have problems it becomes a rat run for hundreds of commuters which clog up the surrounding roads and country lanes (yes we are a village)making them gridlocked with also contributes to the noise and environmental pollution in the area which is already compromised by existing traffic. Last year alone the were over 600 speeding incidents recorded along this stretch of road and that will only continue to increase along with accidents regularly seen.increased numbers of cars and especially heavy Lorries will add to deterioration of the roads causing sink holes of which we've already seen on this road.Also not sure how you can make safe access to the already busy main artery of Kent Highways,let alone adding 100 more houses and twice as many cars.2. Traffic along Green lane is busy enough at the moment and a nightmare during disruption on A2/m25/m20. Also road is not wide enough to cope.3. If affordable houses are to be added how are the residents going to get about as we have a very poor bus service that runs through infrequently and also a train station car park that is already full to capacity on most days.4. The visual impact will be catastrophic to locals.5. Norwood lane is just that a lane and cannot take anymore traffic that use it as a rat run on a regular basis when A2/m25/m20 are disrupted.6.The site has not been previously developed and does not meet any criteria for 'Grey Belt'.
-----------	--

7. Proposals go against recent Government National Planning Policy Framework
- [] Paragraph 116 unacceptable impact on highway safety.
 - [] 198 development should be appropriate to location; pollution (affecting health) living conditions and the natural environment .Ancient woodland loss or permanent damage to irreplaceable habitats.
 - [] 156 release from green belt, affordable housing must be included- how will those in these homes move about with the little or no public transport .
8. We regularly have power cuts in this part of the village as it is, without extra houses putting extra demands on the national grid
9. We will not have adequate access to Doctors which is a problem even now.
Let alone with extra people the infrastructure is simply not there in our village
-

Kind regards