

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/09/2025 1:37 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Blackthorn Farm Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 100No. residential dwellings (including affordable housing), with all matter reserved except for access and creation of a new access from A227/South Street.
Case Officer:	Ms Amanda Cue

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Sidcup Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>I am writing to object to the plan to build 100 houses at Blackthorn Farm, and I believe this proposal is a terrible idea for several reasons.</p> <p>The village can't handle it. It would be adding approximately 400 new people to a small village with no jobs, inadequate local shops, and no decent public transport. Everyone will need a car for everything. Culverstone Green Primary School is already full, so no places for new children. Doctors is also full.</p> <p>Traffic is already appalling. The A227 is a dangerous, congested road. Adding 100-300 more cars will make traffic jams a daily event, create more pollution, and make it even harder for emergency service vehicles to get through. Sink holes closed the road for weeks. A bus full of school children crashed with a tractor right outside this site recently (with one child having life changing injuries) and we can't afford to make that road more dangerous.</p> <p>It will destroy nature and cause flooding. This isn't just a field; it's a green area full of protected wildlife, including bats and owls. Paving it over will destroy their homes and violate environmental protections. Even worse, the farm acts as a natural drain for the area. Building houses there will send all the rainwater downhill, causing massive flooding in the homes below.</p> <p>We have to protect our precious countryside. We should be using brownfield sites first, not ruining valuable green space that protects from flooding and provides a home for local wildlife. For all these reasons, this proposal should be rejected.</p>

Kind regards