

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/03/2026 1:23 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Nursery Road Meopham Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I am against this planning application

This is greenbelt land so should not be built on. It will merge the areas of Sole Street and Hook Green which is against greenbelt policy of preventing Urban Sprawl. The unique character of these villages will be destroyed. Local wildlife will be negatively affected as habitats will be destroyed.

The local infrastructure would not cope with the extra people and traffic. Meopham and Istead Rise GP surgeries are already at capacity. Additional traffic and the building of these properties would cause pollution. Traffic increase would be around 1450 extra cars. The local roads would not be able to cope with this extra traffic - we already have gridlock through the narrow lanes when there are issues on the A2.

The access road proposed via Norwood Lane is not fit for purpose and would impede emergency vehicle access to existing properties.

Open fields capture water to reduce flooding. We already have problems with flooding in Norwood Lane and Wrotham Road so this would become worse.

This is agricultural land used for growing crops. We need food security and this proposal would not support this

Kind regards