

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/03/2026 2:08 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Station Road Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to the proposed development for the following reasons: 1. lack of existing and future infrastructure, the local doctors, dentists, shops and schools are already overstretched based on the current population, so increasing that population would add further stress on already limited resources. 2. local roads are already clogged at school dropping off and picking up times and it can regularly take over half an hour to drive less than 2 miles from one end of the village to the other at approx 8.30am. An excessive amount of on-street parking already takes place due to the current number of people driving their children to school so that problem will also increase. The increased number of cars on the roads would also make the already pot-hole infested roads in and around Meopham deteriorate even further. More cars on the roads coupled with an increase in population also increases the risk of more road accidents. 3. The existing train station at Sole Street is not designed for such a major increase in use, with no lift or disabled access. 4. The 'village' feel of the community would be totally eroded and lost forever, which will dramatically change the way Meopham, Sole Street and the surrounding communities interact with each other. The area will become yet another sprawling town with little or no character and appeal. 5. Natural wildlife habitat and loss of trees and open green space would not only be a disaster for the environment and the eco-system, but fewer green spaces will mean the long-term benefits to people's well-being and mental health will be
-----------	---

lost. Fewer public places to enjoy will increase the amount of mental stress on the local population, thereby increasing the demands upon local GP and NHS resources.

6. Developing on this scale in the current global environment where climate change and industrialisation/urban growth is increasing, is irresponsible and lacking in vision regarding the effects for the future. We should be looking to decrease the negative effects of urbanisation on our planet, not increase them. The loss of open fields and existing trees would have a seriously negative impact upon the eco-system by means of an increase in CO2. Trees and open spaces are good for the environment and help maintain a natural balance.

7. Major disruption to the local community will probably continue for years whilst the project is being built, with lorries and trucks carrying building materials needing to access Norwood Lane and surrounding rounds which are totally unsuited to such vehicles. This will further deteriorate the existing road infrastructure which will in turn put an increased financial demand onto Gravesham council to repair the roads.

8. Existing Council Tax charges could increase due to the extra demands upon Gravesham Council due to needing to provide refuse workers, maintain new roads, provide street lighting etc. This would be an unfair impact upon the existing population.

9. There are very few supporters of this project, the majority of the local population are against it and their views based on their existing knowledge of the local area should outweigh any other consideration.

10. This is a totally unnecessary development. If there is a need at all for extra housing then it should be sheltered/affordable accommodation for the increasing elderly population who are currently very poorly served in the area. A smaller, more thoughtful development of one and two bedroom bungalows for the elderly would be a much more welcome project and would in turn then free up the housing they currently own to be bought by other families. This would be a much more sustainable way of ensuring the growth of the local area but in a managed and balanced way.

Kind regards