

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 26/08/2026 8:36 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of The Drove Way Istead Rise Gravesend Kent DA13 9JY
Proposal:	Development for up to 100 residential dwellings (Class C3), including the formation of new accesses from The Drove Way, the provision of new areas of public open space and all other associated infrastructure and ancillary works (all detailed matters reserved other than means of access).
Case Officer:	Ms Rebecca Harrison

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Crockenhall Way, Istead Rise, Gravesend, DA13 9DB Istead Rise Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	

Comments:

I object to this application

the proposed development is on prime agricultural land in the Green belt. The site has not been identified for housing in the draft local plan for Gravesham. This development will result in essential farmland being lost which is vital for food security in the UK as well as loss of greenbelt land and natural habitats for wildlife which will cause environmental consequences.

In Istead Rise, there is a complete lack of public transport in the early morning and evening, the village is car dependent and this development will result in more traffic on already congested local roads and as there will be no new access roads to the village, the existing busy junctions onto the A227 and New Barn road will be even more congested as there are no safe walking/cycling routes to Meopham Station and Gravesend.

There already is a lot of pressure on services in Istead Rise and the surrounding area - especially at the local hospital and GP surgery which are already at full capacity. This development will make this situation worse.

The recent hot summer has created uncertainty around water supplies, additional housing will only make this worse.

In conclusion, this plan is inappropriate in size and will not benefit or reflect the needs of local people as in 2017, a council survey identified the need for ten affordable houses and twelve alternative homes - This plan should be rejected

Kind regards