

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/11/2025 7:11 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	42 Cambria Crescent Gravesend Kent DA12 4NH
Proposal:	Retention of outbuilding containing shower room, WC, Kitchen, Office and Gym.
Case Officer:	Mrs Lucy Hankin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Here is the revised 500-word statement with his full name included. If you want to add your own name at the end or adjust the tone, I can edit it further.

Public Statement in Support of Ben Idonigie

I am writing as a concerned and impartial member of the public to express my support for [REDACTED] regarding the extension he is constructing on his property. [REDACTED] and I believe it is important to offer a balanced perspective grounded in fairness, community values, and respect for due process.

First and foremost, every homeowner has the legal right to improve or extend their property, provided they follow the appropriate procedures and comply with relevant planning regulations. From everything I understand [REDACTED] has acted responsibly and transparently throughout this process. He has sought proper planning advice, engaged qualified professionals, and ensured that all work conforms to the standards required by local authorities. These are the actions of a conscientious homeowner, not someone deserving of a public campaign targeted against him.

It is also worth emphasising that home extensions are a common and widely accepted part of community development. Families often choose to extend their homes to create more space, improve their living conditions, or adapt to growing needs. Rather than being disruptive, these improvements can enhance neighbourhood value, contribute positively to the local environment, and strengthen community stability by allowing families to remain in the places they call home. In this case, [REDACTED] is simply aiming to create a better living space for his family-a goal that any reasonable person can relate to.

Unfortunately, it appears that the reaction from his neighbour has gone far

beyond what the situation reasonably warrants. Public campaigns can escalate tension unnecessarily, create divisions within communities, and distort the truth about a homeowner's intentions. In my view, the efforts made against [REDACTED] [REDACTED] seem disproportionate and do not reflect the respectful and considerate manner in which he has approached his home improvement project. Rather than seeking clarification or engaging in constructive dialogue, the party opposing him has chosen a confrontational route that risks damaging community harmony.

It is important to remember that planning matters should be handled through the correct official channels, not through public pressure or attempts to undermine an individual's right to improve their home. Decisions about planning permission and construction should be based on factual assessments, legal standards, and fairness—not personal opinions or emotional reactions.

For these reasons, I would like to state my full support for [REDACTED]. He has conducted himself responsibly and respectfully throughout the process, and he is entitled to carry out improvements to his property within the boundaries of the law. The opposition he is facing does not accurately represent his character, intentions, or actions. I sincerely hope that this matter can be approached with fairness, reason, and respect for due process, allowing the community to move forward positively and cooperatively.

Kind regards