

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 11/12/2025 8:52 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Pitfield Drive Meopham Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Having the read the KCC response to this development, I completely agree with their concerns. The A227 is an exceptionally busy road at peak times, and when not busy cars will often speed through the village. This is just one of three housing developments being proposed for the village, and they should not be considered on an individual basis, but collectively. The potential for increased road traffic will be unbearable. The Lower Thames Crossing will also considerably add to the traffic.

The proposed entrance to this development is opposite the parade of shops. The shops are busy throughout the day. Adding the potential of circa 500 vehicles trying to enter or exit the potential development is simply crazy. I understand the developer is proposing a car share scheme. Sounds great, but in reality people simply won't commit to this. How is the developer proposing this will help reduce individual car use? What statistics do they have to back this up? The bus service is too infrequent and therefore cannot be relied upon.

Meopham is a single-access settlement, with the A227 providing the only vehicular route in and out of the village. This creates an inherent single point of access constraint, meaning all residents, emergency services, school traffic and public transport must use the same narrow corridor. There is a lack of resilience, as any incident on the A227 effectively gridlocks the entire village.

I understand that GBC has secured funding of £1.6m for brownfield development.

Surely this land should be given priority for development? This proposed site is prime Green Belt and if developed would change the character of this very historical village forever and represents an inappropriate encroachment into the countryside. The land contains irreplaceable habitats, as defined by the NPFF, such as veteran trees.

I strongly object to this proposal.

Kind regards