

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 11/12/2025 8:55 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Wrotham Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0AA
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 350 residential dwellings , public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Wrotham Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Pitfield Drive Meopham Gravesend

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>After reading KCC's comments on this scheme, I'm fully aligned with their concerns. The A227 is already overloaded at peak times, and when it isn't congested, drivers tear through the village at speed. This is only one of three major housing schemes being pushed for Meopham, and they shouldn't be looked at in isolation. Taken together, the traffic impact would be intolerable. The Lower Thames Crossing will only make the situation worse.</p> <p>The planned access point sits directly opposite the main parade of shops, which is constantly busy. Dropping roughly 500 extra cars in and out of a new estate at that spot is silly. The developer's "car share scheme" might sound good on paper, but in reality it won't work. There's no clear evidence it would cut individual car journeys, and I'd like to know what data they think supports it. The bus service is too unreliable and scarce to be a viable alternative.</p> <p>Meopham has only one way in and out: the A227. Everything funnels through that single route: residents, emergency services, school traffic, buses, all of it. One incident on that road and the whole village locks up, as there's no alternative routes.</p> <p>GBC has already secured £1.6m for brownfield sites, so those should clearly be prioritised. This site is Green Belt, and building on it would permanently alter the character of a historic village and push development into countryside that should be protected. The land includes irreplaceable habitats, including veteran trees</p>
-----------	------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

recognised under the NPPF.

I strongly object, it simply cannot go ahead.

Kind regards