

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 11/12/2025 9:00 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>1. Pressure on Local Services and Village Infrastructure Essential services in the village-GP provision, emergency response, utilities, and the very limited public transport-are already operating close to capacity. Adding up to 120 new dwellings, especially alongside other pending schemes, would place demands far beyond what these rural facilities can realistically support.</p> <p>2. Adverse Effects on Nearby Schools, Including a Specialist Provision The proposal sits close to two schools, one specifically supporting children with special educational needs. These pupils rely on a quiet and predictable environment. Construction activity, increased traffic, and noisier surroundings would compromise their safety, comfort and access to education.</p> <p>3. Inappropriate Traffic Growth on Constrained Rural Lanes The local lanes are narrow, lack pavements, and include several hazardous bends and junctions. They cannot absorb a substantial rise in daily vehicle movements without heightening the risk of collisions. Turning manoeuvres that are already difficult would become more dangerous with heavier flows from a development of this scale.</p> <p>4. Accumulating Impact from Multiple Development Sites With two other applications already in progress and more expected, the village faces a level of cumulative expansion entirely out of keeping with its size and capacity. The combined effect of these schemes-on roads, services, and the rural</p>

environment-would be far greater than any single application suggests.

5. Air, Noise and Light Pollution Concerns

More cars on the road will inevitably raise local emissions, affecting residents and children walking to school. Construction vehicles, operational noise and additional night-time lighting would further erode the peace and environmental quality that define the village.

6. Loss of Green Belt and Erosion of Countryside Character

The site forms part of a protected Green Belt landscape that provides an essential buffer between settlements. Replacing it with a large housing estate would diminish openness, undermine the purpose of the Green Belt, and permanently change the visual and rural character of the area.

7. Threats to Local Wildlife and Ecological Networks

The fields, mature hedgerows and surrounding habitats support a wide range of wildlife. Developing this land would remove established feeding and movement corridors, causing fragmentation and reducing ecological resilience across the wider area.

Kind regards