

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 13/03/2026 2:39 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] NORWOOD LANE MEOPHAM, GRAVESEND

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I wish to formally object to this planning application on the following planning grounds: 1. Urban Sprawl and Loss of Open Land The proposed development would result in the unnecessary loss of open land and would contribute to urban sprawl BETWEEN Meopham and Sole Street. The site currently forms part of the open countryside and provides an important buffer preventing the coalescence of nearby settlements. Development of this scale would undermine the established settlement pattern and conflict with planning policies intended to protect the countryside from inappropriate development. 2. Harm to Landscape Character and Rural Setting The introduction of substantial buildings in this location would cause significant harm to the rural character and visual amenity of the area. The development would be incongruous within the existing landscape and would erode the intrinsic character of the countryside, contrary to the principle of conserving and enhancing the natural environment. 3. Unsustainable Demand on Water Resources The proposal would place additional pressure on local water resources and supply infrastructure. There is insufficient evidence within the application to
-----------	---

demonstrate that the existing network has the capacity to accommodate the increased demand without adverse environmental or service impacts.

4. Highway Safety and Traffic Impact (A227)

The development would generate a significant increase in vehicular movements, placing additional pressure on the A227, which already experiences congestion during peak periods. Increased traffic flows may lead to worsening congestion, longer journey times, and potential highway safety concerns.

5. Inadequate Local Road Network Capacity

The surrounding minor roads and residential streets are not designed to accommodate substantial increases in traffic or to function as diversionary routes during periods of congestion or disruption. Additional traffic associated with the development would therefore place unacceptable strain on the local road network.

6. Insufficient Supporting Infrastructure

The proposal does not demonstrate that adequate infrastructure will be delivered to support the scale of development proposed. Local transport networks, schools, healthcare services including NHS provision, and other community facilities are already under pressure. Without clear, deliverable infrastructure improvements, the development would place an unsustainable burden on existing services and facilities.

For the reasons outlined above, the proposed development would conflict with the fundamental principles of sustainable development and would result in harm to the countryside, local infrastructure, and the wider community. I therefore respectfully request that the Local Planning Authority refuse this application.

[Redacted Signature]

Kind regards