

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/03/2026 9:46 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED], Whitepost Lane Meopham, Gravesham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I wish to formally object to the proposed development of 725 new houses in Meopham. The scale of this development is disproportionate to the size and character of the village and would represent a significant and harmful change to its rural identity. Meopham is a historic rural settlement, and a development of this magnitude would result in the urbanisation of the countryside and erode the character that defines the village. Local infrastructure is already under pressure and there is little evidence that it can sustainably support such a large increase in population. Local roads regularly experience congestion and delays & flooding, and the additional traffic generated by hundreds of new homes would significantly worsen these issues. Many of the roads in and around the village are narrow rural routes that are not designed to accommodate such substantial increases in traffic, raising further concerns about road safety for both motorists and pedestrians. The volume of traffic on these roads already is already an issue particularly during busy periods. The shops already can't cope with the volume of traffic today. There are also serious concerns regarding the capacity of local services. Schools, healthcare facilities, and other community services are already heavily
-----------	---

used, and the proposal does not clearly demonstrate how these essential services would be expanded to meet the needs of a development of this scale & would likely not be implemented anyway.

In addition, the loss of green space and countryside would have a negative impact on the local environment, biodiversity, and the overall landscape setting of the village. Developments of this size risk fragmenting habitats and diminishing the rural environment that residents value.

Sustainability is another key concern. Meopham has limited public transport and local employment opportunities relative to the scale of the proposed housing, meaning future residents would likely be heavily reliant on private cars. This runs counter to sustainable development principles and would contribute to increased traffic and environmental impacts.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the planning authority refuses this application. The proposed development is excessive in scale, places unacceptable pressure on local infrastructure and services, harms the rural character of the village, and does not represent sustainable development.

There are plenty of other places in Gravesend that can take this development ie St George's centre. Since Lakeside and Bluewater were built Gravesend as a shopping town failed and it will never improve. There is also a huge shift in shoppers behaviour ie the move to online.

This site could be given back to developers to build affordable and private housing of houses and flats that is also workable distance to the local train station.

Thank you for considering this objection.

Kind regards