

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/12/2025 1:33 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: Nuralite Industrial Estate Canal Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7JA

Proposal: Application for removal of condition 4 ,6,12 and variation of condition 7 attached to 20190290 - The proposal is for the systematic redevelopment of the existing Nuralite site. This includes the importation of inert materials to cap, and landscape the historic asbestos landfill, thus containing its contamination risk. To demolish the existing buildings of the Nuralite industrial estate, deal with existing contamination, both through capping and removal of areas of hydrocarbon pollution, the laying of new service and utility infrastructure and the construction of 11 new purpose built light industrial type units and a site office; to allow removal of passing places, temporary traffic controls, Archaeological works and implementation of a permanent TRO for all traffic.

Case Officer: Mr Richard Hart

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Medway

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: [REDACTED]

Reasons for comment:

Comments: This application contains a TRO for a new permanent one way system to be introduced, from Higham to the B2000 / Town Road in Cliffe Woods via Lillechurch Rd and then from the B2000 / Town Road to Higham via Lower Rochester Road.

This will severe negatively impact local residents.
Residents in Cliffe would not be able to drive or cycle to Higham Railway Station via Buckland Road, and increasing the journey length by 54%.

All traffic would be forced to drive through Cliffe Woods and Cyclists would not be able to use the national cycle route.

In a time where councils are meant to look for environmentally friendly options this is the complete opposite.

There is zero benefit to the residents of Higham, Cliffe or Cliffe Woods, the new permanent TRO is purely for the benefit to Nuralite! The previous applications suggestion of creating passing places was a workable one that is likely to cost more money, but that should not prevent making the correct decision.

An better solution would be to use the railway network, right next to the site, for the transportation requirements.

No consideration has been given to the environment impact, the local residents or the wider implications of implementing this TRO.

I strongly object to the application, it is not the correct solution and seems to be pure based on the benefit to the applicant.



A very concerned local resident

Kind regards