

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/12/2025 1:47 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: Nuralite Industrial Estate Canal Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7JA

Proposal: Application for removal of condition 4 ,6,12 and variation of condition 7 attached to 20190290 - The proposal is for the systematic redevelopment of the existing Nuralite site. This includes the importation of inert materials to cap, and landscape the historic asbestos landfill, thus containing its contamination risk. To demolish the existing buildings of the Nuralite industrial estate, deal with existing contamination, both through capping and removal of areas of hydrocarbon pollution, the laying of new service and utility infrastructure and the construction of 11 new purpose built light industrial type units and a site office; to allow removal of passing places, temporary traffic controls, Archaeological works and implementation of a permanent TRO for all traffic.

Case Officer: Mr Richard Hart

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED] Rochester

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Member of the Public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: I strongly object to the ridiculous planning application to create a permanent one way system of the roads leading off the B2000 to and from Higham.

Many others have already stated the obvious and compelling reasons why this is not the best solution. The impact on local residents, particularly those travelling between Cliffe and Cliffe Woods and Higham, will be significant and dangerous. Car drivers, walkers and cyclists will all be at risk under this plan. The B2000, especially the section involved here within the 'diversion' is particularly narrow and on a significant hill which struggles to cope currently with the various types of road user. Lorries struggle to pass each other and cyclists hold up the traffic (which can prompt drivers to take risky overtakes).

This proposal needs serious reconsideration.

Kind regards

