

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/03/2026 9:40 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Wrotham Road Meopham

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I am writing to formally object to the proposed development of 725 dwellings, a primary school, local centre, parking facilities and associated infrastructure on land designated as Green Belt. The purpose of Green Belt land is to prevent urban sprawl, protect the countryside, and preserve open spaces for the benefit of local communities and wildlife. This proposal represents a significant and irreversible loss of protected land. Approving such a large-scale development would undermine the fundamental purpose of the Green Belt and set a concerning precedent for future development in similarly protected areas. In addition, the scale of the proposed development would place considerable pressure on already stretched local infrastructure. The surrounding road network already experiences significant congestion, particularly during peak commuting hours. Introducing 725 additional households, along with school traffic, delivery vehicles and increased commercial activity associated with a local centre, would inevitably lead to a substantial increase in vehicle movements. This would worsen traffic congestion, extend journey times, and create additional safety risks for pedestrians and cyclists. The resulting increase in traffic would also have a detrimental impact on local air
-----------	---

quality. Higher levels of vehicle emissions would contribute to increased pollution in the area, negatively affecting both the environment and the health of residents. This is particularly concerning given the proximity of the proposed primary school, where children would be exposed to poorer air quality on a daily basis.

Furthermore, the loss of green space would reduce natural carbon absorption and negatively impact local biodiversity. Green Belt land provides important ecological value, supporting wildlife habitats and acting as a natural buffer against pollution. Its removal would contribute to environmental degradation at a time when local and national policies emphasise the importance of protecting natural spaces and reducing environmental harm.

The development would also significantly alter the character of the local area. The existing landscape provides an important visual and environmental break between settlements, and replacing it with a large housing estate would erode the distinct identity of the surrounding communities.

For these reasons - including the loss of protected Green Belt land, increased traffic congestion, worsening pollution levels, strain on infrastructure, and harm to the character and environment of the area - I strongly urge the planning authority to refuse this application.

Kind regards