

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/03/2026 9:42 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Camer Road Meopham Gravesend Kent
Proposal:	Outline planning application for up to 725 dwellings (Use Class C3), a new two-form entry primary school (Use Class F1), a Local Centre (up to 500sqm of Class E floorspace), the provision of Public Open Space including sport and recreation facilities alongside associated access, landscaping, parking, and infrastructure; with all matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Mrs Katherine Parkin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Beechwood Drive Meopham GRAVESEND

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I am writing to object to the above planning application on the following grounds: 1. Overdevelopment and Merger of Two Distinct Villages The proposed development would effectively merge two separate villages into a single continuous settlement. This would significantly and permanently alter the historic character, identity, and scale of both communities. The increase in size is disproportionate to the existing footprint of each village and represents an unsustainable level of expansion. Such coalescence is contrary to the principles of maintaining rural separation and preserving village identity. 2. Access Constraints and Highway Safety Access to the proposed site is severely constrained. The surrounding lanes are narrow, with limited passing points, poor visibility, and no capacity to accommodate the increase in vehicle movements that would result from this development. These roads already function as through-routes, and the additional traffic will heighten congestion and create safety risks for pedestrians, cyclists, and existing road users. 3. Increased Traffic on Inadequate Rural Lanes The development would funnel a substantial amount of extra traffic onto rural lanes that are not designed for high volumes of vehicles. These roads frequently experience bottlenecks, and increased usage would lead to further deterioration of road surfaces and an elevated risk of accidents. The proposal does not provide realistic mitigation for the traffic pressures it will create.
-----------	---

4. Drainage and Flooding Concerns

There are significant existing issues with drainage and surface-water management in the area. Surrounding roads routinely flood during periods of rain, demonstrating that the current infrastructure is already over capacity. Introducing large areas of impermeable surface will worsen runoff and increase the risk of flooding both on the highways and on neighbouring properties. The development fails to present an adequate or reliable sustainable drainage solution.

5. Water Supply and Pressure

Residents in the surrounding villages already experience inconsistent water pressure and supply issues. Adding a major new development will place additional strain on an already stretched network. There is no evidence that the existing infrastructure can accommodate the increased demand without detrimental impact on current households.

6. Impact on Protected Wildlife

The site and its surrounding habitats are known to support protected species, including newts and bats. Both species have strict legal protections, and any disturbance, habitat loss, or disruption to their ecological corridors is unacceptable. The ecological assessments submitted do not provide sufficient surveys, mitigation measures, or safeguards to ensure compliance with wildlife legislation. The development therefore poses a high risk of harm to these species and their habitats.

Conclusion

For the reasons outlined above-overdevelopment, inadequate access, traffic and safety concerns, flooding risk, water supply constraints, and impacts on protected wildlife-I respectfully request that this planning application be refused.

Kind regards