

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/12/2025 10:40 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adjacent To Longfield Road Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0EW
Proposal:	Outline application for the erection of up to 120 residential dwellings, public open space and associated works. Approval is sought for the principal means of vehicular access from Longfield Road and all other matters are reserved.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	Meopham Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>1. Traffic and highway safety: 120 homes would generate 240+ vehicles, worsening congestion and safety on Longfield Road, already busy with heavy freight and at the busy the A227 junction. The 50mph speed limit on the road would make leaving the development dangerous.</p> <p>2. Existing congestion and parking issues: The A227 and surrounding roads get gridlocked at school drop-off and pick-up times. Parking near local shops is woefully inadequate, and the development would significantly worsen congestion, access, and safety.</p> <p>3. Noise, pollution and disruption: Increased traffic would lead to higher noise levels, air pollution, and severe access problems, with existing illegal parking by parents likely to get worse.</p> <p>4. Impact on Helen Allison School: This is a SEN school, with vulnerable pupils who require peace and quiet to thrive. The increased traffic and noise would negatively affect these vulnerable pupils.</p> <p>5. Destroying Green Belt: The site lies within the Green Belt and should be protected to preserve openness and prevent urban sprawl. This scale of development is inappropriate for a village</p>

setting.

6. Loss of agricultural land:

High-quality farmland that has been farmed for years would be permanently lost, reducing local food production.

7. Strain on local infrastructure:

Schools, GP surgeries, hospitals (including Darent Valley Hospital), public transport, drainage, and other services are already overstretched. No cycle lanes making cycling dangerous.

8. Impact on conservation areas and biodiversity:

Development would harm nearby conservation areas, wildlife habitats, and local biodiversity.

9 Loss of village character:

The proposal would significantly urbanise Meopham, especially when combined with other current and proposed developments. More suitable sites exist elsewhere that would meet housing needs without harming the Green Belt.

Kind regards