

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/12/2025 11:17 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] Gravesend Kent

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>1. Highway safety: Access to 150 homes would generate approximately 300 more cars in our village, plus delivery and service vehicles, placing unsafe additional pressure on the A227, Green Lane and Norwood Lane.</p> <p>2. Unsuitable rural roads: The top end of Norwood Lane and most of Green Lane are narrow country roads of rural character. Green Lane has a 50mph speed limit and is already used as a cut-through between the A2 and A20/M20. There is a dangerous bend in the road near Camer Park which makes it even more unsuitable for increased residential traffic. Norwood Lane is already busy and people drive far too fast. Adding more traffic to serve another 150 houses would be likely to make it dangerous especially for children and vulnerable people emerging from their properties onto Norwood Lane, ie risk of being run over, and ill health due to inhaling car emissions and noise pollution.</p> <p>3. Unsafe pedestrian access: Green Lane and the stretch of Norwood Lane adjacent to the proposed site have no footpaths or street lighting. The alley into Tradescant Avenue and on to Denesway is narrow, dark and uneven. This all makes pedestrian access unsafe, including access to the station for commuters.</p> <p>4. Existing congestion:</p>

The A227 is already at a standstill during school drop-off times. This development would significantly worsen congestion and delays especially at the A227 junction with Green Lane.

5. Pressure on doctors' surgery

The local GP practice is already under strain, with appointments difficult to obtain. Additional residents would exacerbate this.

6. Infrastructure and parking:

Local services including shops, schools, public transport and drainage are already overstretched. Parking near the shops is frequently impossible due to limited bays and an inadequate overspill car park; this situation would worsen with another development with 300+ cars.

7. Destroying the Green Belt:

The site lies within the Green Belt and should be protected from development.

8. Loss of agricultural land:

High-grade farmland that has been farmed for centuries would be permanently lost, reducing local food production.

9. Environmental impact:

Development would result in the loss of wildlife habitats, flora and fauna. There are a lot of mature trees including an ancient tree on the edge of the land in question where it adjoins Green Lane. This should be preserved.

10. Loss of village character:

The proposal would fundamentally change Meopham from a rural village into an urbanised settlement and cause urban sprawl.

Kind regards