

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 12/12/2025 11:25 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Address: | Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE |
| Proposal: | Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works. |
| Case Officer: | Mrs Alison Webster |

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

| | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|
| Name: | [REDACTED] |
| Email: | [REDACTED] |
| Address: | [REDACTED] Meopham Gravesend Kent |

Comments Details

| | |
|-----------------|--|
| Commenter Type: | Neighbour |
| Stance: | Customer objects to the Planning Application |

Reasons for comment:

| | |
|-----------|--|
| Comments: | <p>Planning Objection</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Traffic and access: 150 dwellings would introduce around 300 additional vehicles plus service traffic onto the A227, Green Lane and Norwood Lane, which are totally inadequate to deal with more traffic, creating safety and congestion problems.2. Inappropriate road network: Green Lane is a fast rural road (50mph) already used as a commuter rat-run between the A2 and A20/M20 and is unsuitable for further development traffic.3. Poor pedestrian provision: Inadequate footpaths and no street-lighting on Green Lane and Norwood Lane make walking unsafe, including access to the railway station. Poor bus services.4. Existing congestion: The A227 already suffers severe delays during school peak times, which would be significantly worsened.5. Pressure on local services: Total lack of consideration about existing infrastructure. Much more thought required. As well as roads, GP services, shops, schools, transport and drainage are already stretched. Parking near local shops is frequently unavailable and |
|-----------|--|

would deteriorate further.

6. Green Belt and countryside loss:

The proposal would result in development on Green Belt land, including the permanent loss of high-quality agricultural land and wildlife habitats.

7. Impact on village character:

The scale of development would erode Meopham's rural character and contribute to its gradual urbanisation which is wrong.

Kind regards