

# Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/12/2025 7:17 PM from [REDACTED]

## Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of Norwood Lane Meopham Gravesend Kent DA13 0YE
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved (except access) for a development of up to 150 dwellings (Use Class C3), including affordable dwellings, and associated landscaping, public open space and infrastructure works.
Case Officer:	Mrs Alison Webster

[Click for further information](#)

## Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED]

## Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Member of the Public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	<p>I strongly object to this planning application for the following reasons:</p> <p>It will create an overwhelming increase in the already excessively busy traffic along the Wrotham road and the surrounding adjoining roads/lanes.</p> <p>This application will also have a detrimental effect on the local facilities due to insufficient infrastructure, particularly with the 2 small parades of shops and conveniences, where parking is already at a premium and dangerous level.</p> <p>This will also put extreme pressure on the local drs surgery (bearing in mind the other applications going ahead in Meopham), which can only lead to an oversubscribed local health issue.</p> <p>Meopham is also facing the prospect of increased traffic from the inevitable Lower Thames Crossing and with the possibility of around 1400 additional vehicles from new residents in the area (if all applications go ahead), the whole area will be gridlocked.</p> <p>Due to the narrow lane, the access point will cause considerable congestion and danger, which has already been seen during the times where pop up traffic lights have been used for road maintenance and when traffic has had to be diverted.</p> <p>In regards to the so called provision of 'public open space', I feel planners have failed to recognise that we already have sufficient areas for current residents and visitors to the area, which includes Camer Park, Judsons and 2 Greens, vineyards over the Valley as well as all of the so called Greenbelt areas where there are plenty of public walkways. It seems ludicrous and an insult to take away Greenbelt land to then provide public open spaces, on what was an open space/farmland and therefore destroying the village landscape.</p>
-----------	--

---

Kind regards