

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 15/11/2025 1:08 PM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address:	42 Cambria Crescent Gravesend Kent DA12 4NH
Proposal:	Retention of outbuilding containing shower room, WC, Kitchen, Office and Gym.
Case Officer:	Mrs Lucy Hankin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name:	[REDACTED]
Email:	[REDACTED]
Address:	[REDACTED] GRAVESEND

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	FORMAL OBJECTION - STRONGLY OPPOSED I submit this objection in the strongest possible terms. My original support for the outbuilding was given solely on the basis of an application that described a simple gym/outbuilding, with specific materials and external finishes. What has been constructed bears no resemblance to the approved drawings and significantly departs from what was represented to both myself and the planning authority. I agreed to a brick faced building (now rendered and poorly) and a simple building (no WC/Kitchen) on drawing consultation. The applicant has not adhered to the approved plans, including external coverings, layout, and intended use. The installation of a WC, kitchen facilities, and services-none of which were indicated or approved-demonstrates a clear and deliberate effort to create a unit intended for habitable use, not for gym or office purposes. These unauthorised installations are a material breach of the planning consent and breach any ancillary connections to services such as water. Throughout the process, the applicant has provided inconsistent, unclear, and misleading information regarding the true purpose of the building. The pattern of behaviour, alongside their existing practice of sub-letting other structures on-site, raises extremely serious concerns that this outbuilding has been deliberately constructed for unapproved residential sub-letting, not for the stated purpose in the original application. This represents: - A significant and intentional deviation from the approved development - A material change of use without consent The design and outfitting of the building are entirely inappropriate for its stated use. It is abundantly clear that the building has been constructed with the intention of functioning as a separate rentable unit, contrary to all assurances previously given. Given the extent of non-compliance, misrepresentation, and the likelihood of unauthorised sub-letting, I strongly urge the planning authority to: 1. Refuse this application outright 2. Prevent the building from being used for any form of residential occupation 3. Require full remedial action to bring the structure back into compliance, or its
-----------	---

removal

This development is unacceptable and non-compliant, and must be addressed decisively by the council. Otherwise any one can build anything they want to.

Kind regards