

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/12/2025 6:42 AM from [REDACTED]

Application Summary

Address: Nuralite Industrial Estate Canal Road Higham Rochester Kent ME3 7JA

Proposal: Application for removal of condition 4 ,6,12 and variation of condition 7 attached to 20190290 - The proposal is for the systematic redevelopment of the existing Nuralite site. This includes the importation of inert materials to cap, and landscape the historic asbestos landfill, thus containing its contamination risk. To demolish the existing buildings of the Nuralite industrial estate, deal with existing contamination, both through capping and removal of areas of hydrocarbon pollution, the laying of new service and utility infrastructure and the construction of 11 new purpose built light industrial type units and a site office; to allow removal of passing places, temporary traffic controls, Archaeological works and implementation of a permanent TRO for all traffic.

Case Officer: Mr Richard Hart

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Name: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: The need to stabilise and cap the asbestos at the Nuralite site is vital. To minimise the heavy risk to public health and the local environment, the waste removal processes should be transported by the rail facilities that are right there at Hoo Junction. Hoo junction is perfect for this, as it is remote from housing. Transporting waste and construction materials by road will endanger public health through airborne pollution and increased heavy traffic on narrow rural roads around Higham. We are horrified that a one-way system is proposed to the B2000. We have lost our surgery which is bad enough but people need to be able to get to the other surgeries at Cliffe etc vis the B2000 as quickly as possible.
The solution: they need to work with rail, and budget that in. Do not permit this proposal as it stands. It is dangerous, disrespectful of local villagers, and life threatening through asbestos and traffic.

Kind regards

